

SAFETY ASSESSMENT AND OVERSIGHT

Brian Colamosca FAA Technical Center - Atlantic City, NJ 17 June 2003

OVERVIEW I



Five-Step Process of ICAO Doc 9574 - Basis for RVSM Safety Assessment and Oversight

→ Step 2: Preliminary Assessment of System Safety

→ Setting Safety Goal - The Target Level of Safety (TLS)

Tools for Safety Assessment - ICAO Collision Risk Methodology

→Assembling Safety-Related Data

OVERVIEW II



- → Step 3: Planning and Preparation
- → Regional Height-Keeping Performance Specification
- → The Role of Regional Monitoring Agency
- →Monitoring Height-Keeping Performance
- → The Importance of Large Height Deviations
- →Safety Assessment Versus Safety Oversight
- → Step 4: Verification
- → Step 5: Full Implementation



ICAO Doc 9574 - BASIS FOR RVSM SAFETY ASSESSMENT AND OVERSIGHT

→ICAO Doc 9574 Manual on Implementation of a 300m (1, 000-ft) Vertical Separation Minimum Between FL290 and FL410 Inclusive

→ICAO guidance material for worldwide and regional RVSM implementation



ICAO Doc 9574 - FIVE-STEP IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS

- →Doc 9574 proposes that a regional planning group follow a five-step process when implementing RVSM:
 - Assessment of Requirements
 - Assessment of System Safety
 - > Planning and Preparation
 - Yerification
 - → Full Operation



STEP 2: PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF SYSTEM SAFETY

- Assess ability to meet RVSM safety goal: target level of safety (TLS)
- →Adapt ICAO Collision Risk Methodology to Region
- Assemble data necessary to conduct regional safety assessment
 - > Traffic movement data "Know Your Airspace"
 - History of large height deviations due to turbulence, emergencies and "ATC Loop Errors"



STEP 2: SETTING SAFETY GOAL THE TARGET LEVEL OF SAFETY (TLS)

- →ICAO Doc 9574 employs worldwide TLS value to establish aircraft height-keeping performance requirements:
 - \rightarrow TLS = 2.5 fatal accidents per 10**9 flying hours
- \rightarrow Previous RVSM implementation experience: need to account for risk due to large height deviations
- → North American TLS value
 - \rightarrow Overall TLS = 5 fatal accidents per 10**9 flying hours
 - TLS used as bound on aircraft "technical risk" = ICAO Doc 9574 global TLS



STEP 2: TOOLS FOR SAFETY ASSESSMENT - ICAO COLLISION RISK METHODOLOGY

- → Collision Risk Methodology used to develop Doc 9574 global system performance specification, height keeping performance specification and aircraft height keeping performance requirements
- →Risk Methodology consists of:
 - > TLS (=safety goal)

 - agreed means to evaluate if safety goal is met, given risk estimate

STEP 2: ASSEMBLING SAFETY-RELATED DATA I



- → "Know Your Airspace" traffic movement data provides:
 - → operators and aircraft types using airspace where RVSM will be applied
 - means to estimate passing frequencies (traffic packing) in current airspace
 - → means to estimate several risk model parameters



STEP 2: ASSEMBLING SAFETY-RELATED DATA II

- Historical information on occurrence of large height deviations supports:

 - → means to reduce possible future occurrence of this type of event when RVSM is introduced



STEP 3: PLANNING AND PREPARATION

- Develop regional height-keeping performance specification
- → Develop regional height-keeping performance monitoring mechanisms and set up regional monitoring agency (RMA) to administer them
- Establish systems for monitoring aircraft heightkeeping performance
- Establish means for monitoring large height deviations



STEP 3: DEVELOP REGIONAL HEIGHT KEEPING PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATION

- → Doc 9574 sets global requirements on aircraft height keeping performance (altimetry and altitude keeping) systems
- → Besides aircraft systems, other source of risk:
 - → turbulence
 - → emergencies
 - response to TCAS advisories
 - ATC loop errors
- Purpose of performance specification: set bound on frequency and magnitude of large height deviations



STEP 3: THE ROLE OF THE REGIONAL MONITORING AGENCY

- → Regional Monitoring Agency is focal point for assembling information needed for safety assessment and safety oversight
- RMA organizes and direct aircraft height-keeping performance monitoring, assembly and assessment of large height deviation data, and reporting safety results to decision makers



STEP 3: MONITORING AIRCRAFT HEIGHT KEEPING PERFORMANCE

≻RMA:

- Assembles the technical systems and staff necessary to conduct aircraft height-keeping performance monitoring
- Tracks progress of operators in meeting monitoring requirements associated with application of State RVSM approval process
- > Notifies States when requirements are satisfied
- Notifies State if individual monitoring result indicates non-compliance with RVSM requirements



STEP 3: THE IMPORTANCE OF LARGE HEIGHT DEVIATIONS

- → Both NAT and Pacific RVSM implementation experience indicate that State approval process results in altimetry and altitude keeping systems which are compliant with RVSM aircraft height keeping performance requirements
- → Result: Aircraft system "technical risk" 10 to 20 times less than corresponding TLS value
- →Large height deviations especially ATC Loop Errors - in both NAT and Pacific resulted in overall risk estimate 10 to 20 percent less than overall TLS

SAFETY ASSESSMENT VERSUS SAFETY OVERSIGHT



→Safety Assessment conducted prior to RVSM implementation

A Question: Is it safe to implement RVSM?

> Result: Contribution to GO/NO-GO decision

→Safety Oversight ongoing after RVSM implementation

- > Question: Does RVSM continue to be safe?
- Results: Remedial actions if systematic problems are observed



Safety Assessment versus Safety Oversight





Safety Assessment versus Safety Oversight





Safety Assessment versus Safety Oversight





STEP 4: VERIFICATION AND INITIAL

→Verification:

- Prior to RVSM implementation
- Safety Assessment
- →Initial Implementation
 - > RVSM implemented
 - Safety Oversight
 - Checks to ensure that planned ATC changes are effective
 - Honitoring continues

STEP 5: LONG TERM



- →RVSM in long-term use
- →Safety Oversight
- Continued aircraft height-keeping performance monitoring to ensure that State approval process continues to be effective
 - Hong-term requirements: no decisions in NAT or Asia Pacific
- Frequency and magnitude of large height deviations remain important
- → Sharing of experience among Regions where RVSM is implemented