



**INTERNATIONAL CIVIL AVIATION ORGANIZATION**

**AFI COMPREHENSIVE REGIONAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR AVIATION SECURITY AND FACILITATION IN AFRICA (AFI SECFAL PLAN)**

**13<sup>TH</sup> AFI SECFAL PLAN STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING**

**Title: Presentation of the AFI SECFAL Plan Programme Document for (2024 - 2030)**

*(Presented by the AFI SECFAL Plan Secretariat)*

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b>  |   |
| <p>This Working Paper gives a snapshot of the revised Comprehensive Regional Implementation Plan for Aviation Security and Facilitation in Africa (AFI SECFAL Plan) Master Document, by highlighting the Milestones of the Plan from its inception in 2015, revised objectives, priorities and deliverables expected during the extended tenure of the Plan, from January 2024 to December 2030.</p> <p>Action by the Meeting is proposed under <b>Paragraph 4.</b></p> |   |
| <i>Strategic Objectives</i>   | <b>Strategic Objective C - Aviation Security and Facilitation</b> |

**1 INTRODUCTION**

1.1 The Comprehensive Regional Implementation Plan for Aviation Security and Facilitation in Africa (AFI SECFAL Plan), was unanimously supported by States and subsequently endorsed at the 24<sup>th</sup> Extraordinary Plenary Session of the African Civil Aviation Commission (AFCAC), held from 1 to 4 July 2014 in Dakar, Senegal. The ICAO Council, in its 203rd Session, approved the AFI SECFAL Plan as an ICAO Programme and the first Steering Committee (SC) meeting was held in Maputo, Mozambique in 2015.

1.2 The ICAO Council, in its 226th Session, further approved the extension of the term of the AFI SECFAL Plan from 2024 to 2030 in line with the Global Aviation Security Plan (GASeP) Roadmap and its future revisions. The extension was subsequently endorsed by the ICAO Assembly, during its 41<sup>st</sup> Session in 2022, Resolutions A41-17 and 18.

1.3 The overall objective of AFI SECFAL Plan is to enhance aviation security and facilitation in Africa in a sustainable manner. Additionally, the Plan seeks to coordinate all capacity-building and technical support efforts in the field of aviation security (AVSEC) and facilitation (FAL) undertaken by

ICAO, States, regional and international organizations, and governmental and non-governmental organizations while ensuring alignment with ICAO policies, in order to contribute to the overall objective.

## 2. DISCUSSION

2.1 Since its inception in 2015 in Maputo, Mozambique, despite numerous challenges, the Plan has made significant progress under the guidance of the Steering Committee (SC) with the assistance of the ICAO Secretariat. As at this 13<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the SC, the following are some of the milestones the Plan has achieved:

- a) The short and medium-term phases of the Plan ended on 31 December 2017 and 31 December 2020 respectively, and the long-term phase (2021 to 2023) ended on 31st December 2023. Targets have been largely achieved on the planned activities and deliverables for each phase, despite some challenges. Notable constraints include the outbreak of Covid-19 Pandemic in early 2020. The achievements form a good foundation for the implementation of the extended period (2024-2030).
- b) Steady progress in raising the average Effective Implementation (EI) of the Critical Elements (CEs), motivated by the aspirational GAsEP target of 90% of AFI States to achieve 80% average EI by 2023. The AFI overall average EIs has increased from 53.06% in 2015 (Plan inception) to 64.59 % as at 31st December 2023.
- c) The Plan has recorded enhanced and strengthened collaboration and awareness between international and regional organizations such as the African Union Commission (AUC), African Civil Aviation Commission (AFCAC), UN Counter-Terrorism Committee Executive Directorate (CTED), Interpol, Airports Council International (ACI), the European Union (EU), among others, thereby mitigating duplication of efforts and resources as one of the key objectives of the Plan.
- d) The AFI SECFAL Plan work Programme, Windhoek Declaration and Targets and the Africa and Middle East Aviation Security Roadmap were aligned with the GAsEP in 2019.
- e) The Windhoek Declaration and Targets for Aviation Security and Facilitation in Africa aimed at re-enforcing the political commitment to enhance compliance with the relevant ICAO SARPs were revised and endorsed by the AUC in May 2021.
- f) Securing strong political commitment, building the capacity of technical experts, elevating the EI of the CE of State aviation security oversight systems, and enhancing compliance with provisions of Annex 9 – *Facilitation* and Annex 17 – *Aviation Security*, through harmonization of processes, sharing of resources and information, and embracing best practices.
- g) The AFI Regional Aviation Security and Facilitation Group (RASFALG-AFI), through AFCAC, has continued to report its activities to the SC, and conducted support missions to AFI States.
- h) The SC Chairperson, with the support of the ICAO Secretariat, has provided regular progress reports to the ICAO Council and to the ICAO 40th and 41st Assemblies.
- i) Four clusters of priority State-specific projects, according to the needs of States as approved by the SC, were developed and implemented with precedence given to resolving existing SSeCs, preventing new SSeCs, providing support to States with low rates of EI as well as initiating risk-based targeted assistance.
- j) Development of aviation security and facilitation professionals through training and coaching in collaboration with ICAO HQ, AFCAC, States, and partners.

- k) Functional AFI - Collaborative Experts Scheme (AFI-CES) under AFCAC, established as a pool of experts available to support the execution of the AFI SECFAL Plan Work Programme activities.
- l) Established a continuous coordination and liaison approach with the AUC and AFI States towards the development of the African e-passport and the realization of the goal for free movement of people under the AUC agenda 2063; and
- m) Enhanced advocacy to promote compliance with the provisions of ICAO Annex 9 – *Facilitation* with emphasis on the delivery of Facilitation and ICAO TRIP Strategy training courses. This has supported the development of API and PNR programmes and has encouraged an increase in membership of the ICAO Public Key Directory (PKD) with AFI State participation growing from three (3) members in 2015 to 19 by June 2024.

2.2 Analysis of ICAO Universal Security Audit Programme (USAP) findings, as well as ICAO Regional Office technical assistance mission results, needs assessments and other sources of information on the performance of AFI states, indicate that aviation security and facilitation in the region continues to be characterized by persistent and systemic implementation challenges. These challenges relate to regulatory frameworks, oversight systems, personnel selection criteria and training, provision of guidance material, appropriate risk assessment methodologies, airport level procedures, cyber security and the implementation of air side access control measures and border controls.

2.3 Other challenges include deficient national legislation and policies (primary legislation and operating regulations), empowerment of national aviation security inspectors, underdeveloped aviation security infrastructure and supporting resources, insufficient funding or prioritization of resources on aviation, and lack of appropriately trained personnel. In particular, the USAP-CMA analysis as of 31 December 2023, indicates that 47 out of 54 ICAO Member States from the AFI region, have had one or more USAP-CMA audits. The USAP-CMA has identified several aspects related to aviation security oversight systems, for which States in the AFI region continue to face challenges, including lapses that pose immediate risks to the security of civil aviation.

2.4 As regards aviation related border control aspects, Africa continues to be confronted with facilitation issues that adversely affect the efficiency of border integrity and quality of air services. While not all States in the region have developed National Air Transport Facilitation Programmes (NATFPs) and implemented functional national and airport Air Transport Facilitation Committees (NATFCs/AFCs), very few states have introduced Advance Passenger Information (API) and Passenger Name Record (PNR) systems in line with Annex 9 – *Facilitation* provisions and UN Security Council Resolutions - UNSCRs 2178 (2014), 2309 (2016) and 2396 (2017) - on border control.

### **3. PROPOSED WAY FORWARD (2024 TO 2030)**

3.1 Following the noted persistent and systemic deficiencies that AFI states continue to face and also the milestones achieved by the Plan during the period from 2015 to 2023, the Programme Master document and its activities have been revised to reflect the extended period of seven years approved by the ICAO Council and Assembly from 2024 to 2030. The revised Programme document is attached as [Attachment A](#) to this Working Paper.

3.2 The implementation of the Plan during the extended period of seven years (2024-2030) will be executed in two phases. Phase one, covering a period of four years (2024-2027) which will focus on the implementation of State-Specific Projects based on prioritized individual State needs, to address the persistent deficiencies. Further, particular focus will be put on the prevention and resolution of Significant Security Concerns (SSECs) and the timely implementation of USAP-CMA Corrective Action Plans (CAPs).

3.3 Phase two of the plan, covering a period of three years (2028-2030), will address key issues that will be identified as persistent by the end of Phase one, and other emerging challenges. Progress with respect to the satisfactory completion of activities will be monitored throughout the implementation of both phases.

3.4 Capacity-building assistance will be prioritized to meet the specific needs of States and associated risks, based on available resources and the ability of the targeted State to absorb the assistance provided. States with serious deficiencies and a demonstrated commitment to improve will receive priority, with particular focus on addressing root causes. The criteria for determining priorities will include an assessment of the level of risk, threat, and resource constraints.

3.5 Expected deliverables for the activities in each phase have been defined in **Appendix B** of the Programme annexed to this Working Paper as **Attachment A**. The ultimate goals for the Programme include addressing the GAsEP priorities and Annex requirements, achieving the Windhoek and other regional Targets as adopted and as revised from time to time, and preventing acts of unlawful interference against civil aviation while also facilitating the efficient movement of passengers and goods.

#### **4. ACTION BY THE STEERING COMMITTEE**

4.1 The Steering Committee is invited to:

- a) Note the information contained in this Working Paper.
- b) Note the progress made in the review of the AFI SECFAL Plan Programme Master document for the extended period from 2024 to 2030;
- c) Approve the revised AFI SECFAL Plan Programme Master document for onward finalization and endorsement by the ICAO Secretary General, and
- d) Provide any guidance as necessary.

**ATTACHMENT A**

**Updated AFI SECFAL Plan Programme Document (2024-2030)**



**COMPREHENSIVE REGIONAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN  
FOR AVIATION SECURITY AND FACILITATION IN AFRICA  
(AFI SECFAL PLAN)**

**UPDATED FOR THE EXTENDED PERIOD  
(1 JANUARY 2024 TO 31 DECEMBER 2030)**

## **AFI SECFAL PLAN SUMMARY**

The International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) is a specialized United Nations (UN) Agency responsible for ensuring that member States apply harmonized civil aviation Standards and Recommended Practices (SARPs) to facilitate the continued growth of aviation. Security and air transport Facilitation are essential for the existence of civil aviation and are key enablers of the rapidly developing global economy.

The African Aviation Security and Facilitation (AFI SECFAL) Plan was unanimously supported by States at the AFI Aviation Security Meeting in Dakar, Senegal, on 28 May 2014. It was subsequently endorsed at the 24<sup>th</sup> Extraordinary Plenary Session of the African Civil Aviation Commission (AFCAC), held from 1 to 4 July 2014 in Dakar, Senegal. During this Session, the AFI States further requested the Organization to approve the Plan as an ICAO Programme.

The ICAO Council at its 203rd Session (C-DEC 203/2), approved the AFI SECFAL Plan as an ICAO Programme and requested that the Secretary General report on the AFI SECFAL Programme activities and progress to the ICAO Council during the 204th or 205th session, as appropriate.

The ICAO Council at its 226th Session, further approved the extension of the terms of the AFI SECFAL Plan from 2024 to 2030 in line with the Global Aviation Security Plan (GASeP) Roadmap and its future revisions. The extension was subsequently endorsed by the ICAO Assembly, during its 41<sup>st</sup> Session in 2022, Resolutions A41-17 and A41-18. The overall objective of AFI SECFAL Plan is to enhance aviation security and facilitation in Africa in a sustainable manner.

## **REFERENCES**

- |             |   |
|-------------|---|
| C-WP/14181  | <i>AFI Security/Facilitation Initiative</i>   |
| C-DEC 203/2 | ICAO Council Decision approving the AFI SECFAL Initiative as an ICAO Programme  |
| C-DEC 226/2 | Report on the Comprehensive Regional Implementation Plan for Aviation Security and Facilitation in Africa (AFI SECFAL Plan)   |
| C/WP/15378  | ICAO Council Decision approving the extension of the term of the AFI SECFAL Plan from 2024 to 2030 in line with the Global Aviation Security Plan (GASeP) Roadmap and its future revisions. |
- Working Paper presented by Uganda at the Dakar AFI SECFAL meeting
  - Comprehensive Regional Implementation Plan for Aviation Safety in Africa

- Global Aviation Security Plan (GASeP)
- The Africa and Middle East Aviation Security Roadmap
- The ICAO 2023-2025 Business Plan, Version 1.0 - 25 July 2022

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|   |    |
|---|----|
| <u>AFI SECFAL PLAN SUMMARY</u> .....  | 3  |
| <u>REFERENCES</u> .....   | 3  |
| 1. <u>BACKGROUND</u> .....  | 5  |
| 2. <u>MILESTONES ACHIEVED BY THE PLAN FROM INCEPTION (2015 TO 2023)</u> .....                 | 8  |
| 3. <u>DESCRIPTION OF THE PLAN</u> .....   | 10 |
| 4. <u>PLAN MANAGEMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION</u> .....  | 14 |
| 5. <u>RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS, BUDGET ESTIMATE, AND FUNDING</u> .....                           | 17 |
| 6. <u>MONITORING AND EVALUATION</u> .....   | 17 |
| <u>APPENDIX A – ACRONYMS</u> .  |    |
| <u>APPENDIX B – MANAGEMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION (OBJECTIVES, OUTPUTS AND ACTIVITIES)</u> .      |    |
| <u>APPENDIX C – KEY ROLE PLAYERS – PARTNERS</u>   |    |
| <u>APPENDIX D – FINANCIAL RESOURCES REQUIRED AND COSTING TO SUPPORT THE PLAN (ESTIMATE)</u> . |    |
| <u>APPENDIX E – MONITORING AND REPORTING RESPONSIBILITIES</u> .                               |    |



## 1. BACKGROUND

### 1.1 Overview

1.1.1 In recent years, the global aviation market has shown strong growth. Passenger traffic in the African region grew by 4.7 per cent in 2019; this trend was expected to continue, in line with the steady economic growth forecasts for the continent, until the disruptions and devastation of Covid-19 Pandemic to the aviation sector effective March 2020. The gradual recovery of the sector reached 76 per cent of the 2019 levels in 2022, but with projection to grow, surpassing the pre-crisis levels at 101 per cent by 2025.

1.1.2 In terms of projected growth in the aviation sector, States' timely implementation of the recommendations of the Facilitation Stream of the High-level Conference on COVID-19 (HLCC 2021) will facilitate efficient recovery of aviation from the COVID-19 pandemic, and a resilient aviation system against future pandemics, considering the recommendations address Facilitation operational measures, enhancing national coordination and international cooperation, enhancing digital data sharing to facilitate seamless and contactless travel, future approaches to management of sustainable health-related measures, and other Facilitation issues.

1.1.3 Numerous activities aimed at enhancing aviation security and air transport facilitation in Africa have been sponsored and implemented by various stakeholders, including States, sub-regional, regional and international organizations. Before the inception of the Plan, these activities were largely characterized as independent initiatives that focused on specific components of aviation security and air transport facilitation. Today, a growing number of donor States and lending institutions are being coordinated, allowing policy makers and the donor community to work within a common strategic framework of identified priorities and goals under the ICAO umbrella. Although substantial results have been obtained in the past, enabling an increase in aviation activities, coordination by the Plan will continue to impress sustainability of the achievements recorded.

1.1.4 Analysis of ICAO Universal Security Audit Programme (USAP) findings, as well as ICAO Regional Office technical assistance mission results, needs assessments and other sources of information on the performance of AFI states, indicates that aviation security and facilitation in the region continues to be characterized by persistent and systemic implementation challenges. These challenges relate to regulatory frameworks, oversight systems, personnel selection criteria and training, provision of guidance material, appropriate risk assessment methodologies, airport level procedures, cyber security and the implementation of air side access control measures and border controls.

1.1.5 Challenges include deficient national legislation and policies (primary legislation and operating regulations), empowerment of national aviation security inspectors, underdeveloped aviation security infrastructure and supporting resources, insufficient funding or prioritization of resources in aviation, and lack of appropriately trained personnel. In particular, the USAP-CMA analysis as at 31 December 2023, indicates that 47 out of 54 ICAO Member States from the AFI region, have had one or more USAP-CMA audits. The USAP-CMA has identified a number of aspects related to state aviation security oversight systems, for

which States in the AFI region continue to face challenges. Key areas highlighted as persistent challenges include but not limited to the following:

- a) Deficient operating regulations, national programmes, procedures and practices in line with or consistent with current Annex 17, including qualifications for inspectors, enforcement powers, coordination mechanism identification of critical information and communication technology systems.
- b) Lack of training programmes for national aviation security inspectors to ensure that personnel carrying out national quality control activities are trained to appropriate standards for these tasks.
- c) Inadequate mechanism to oversee training processes for persons implementing security controls, to include a certification system that ensures that all persons who carry out aviation security instructional activities are qualified in the applicable subject matters.
- d) Ineffective implementation of national quality control activities associated with deficient procedures, forms, checklists or protocols for their national aviation security inspectors monitoring activities and rectification of deficiencies identified through inspections.
- e) Deficient airport level procedures, coordination mechanisms and programmes, lack of supporting resources and facilities for aviation security, ineffective access control measures and land-side security, to include absence of specifications of performance test pieces for security screening equipment used in the screening of persons, baggage, cargo, etc.
- f) Inability to implement processes to ensure security programmes for Aircraft Operator Security Programmes, Air Traffic Service Providers and other airport level entities meet the requirements of their NCASP, to include ineffective implementation of in-flight aircraft security checks and searches of originating aircraft and instructions for carriage of weapons in an area of the aircraft inaccessible to any person during flight time.
- g) Absence or deficient airport level procedures for screening, associated with the ineffective implementation of screening and baggage reconciliation requirements.
- h) Lack of developed and sufficiently detailed instructions, guidance material or performance criteria for the application of security controls and screening of cargo and mail; and
- i) Lack of detailed Contingency Plans, supportive resources, specialized training, regular testing, evaluations to determine weaknesses in response mechanisms and provision of specialized training.

1.1.6 As regards aviation related border control aspects, Africa continues to be confronted with air transport facilitation issues that adversely affect the efficiency and integrity of border management activities and the quality of air services. While not all the 54 States in the Region have developed National Air Transport Facilitation Programmes (NATFPs) and implemented functional National and Airport Air Transport Facilitation Committees (NATFCs/AFCs), only six States have introduced Advance Passenger Information (API) and three have implemented Passenger Name Record (PNR) data systems as of August 2023, in line with Annex 9 to the Chicago Convention and UN Security Council Resolutions - UNSCRs – 2178 (2014), 2309 (2016) and 2396 (2017).

1.1.7 The magnitude of the problem is compounded by the tenuous security environment, conflict zones, political instability, and the growing presence of terrorist, insurgent, and transnational criminal group activities in the continent, thereby leading to many States facing significant challenges relating to compliance with ICAO Standards and Recommended Practices (SARPs).

1.1.8 As the global aviation system transcends national borders, the situation in Africa remains with potential implications that can adversely impact regional and global economies. Over the years, various initiatives and declarations have been made in order to enhance aviation security and air transport facilitation in Africa. These include, *inter alia*:

- Agadir Seminar May 2000, co-sponsored by ICAO and the African Civil Aviation Commission (AFCAC), aimed at creating a unique coordination authority for aviation security at the airport level.
- ICAO, in cooperation with the African Union (AU) and AFCAC, held a conference from 5 to 7 November 2007 in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, on the development of an African aviation security Roadmap.
- On 13 April 2010, in Abuja, Nigeria, the Ministers in charge of aviation security together with regional and international organizations adopted the Abuja Declaration on Civil Aviation Security in Africa in which they affirmed their commitment to preventing unlawful interference with civil aviation in all forms, with particular attention being placed on countering terrorist threats against civil aviation.
- Following the Abuja Declaration, the AFCAC AVSEC Working Group (10-12 August 2010) was mandated with the implementation of the African Roadmap on Civil Aviation Security. However, the African Roadmap encountered significant implementation challenges and did not achieve its desired outcome.
- At a Regional Conference on aviation security, which took place in Dakar, Senegal, 17 to 18 October 2011, African States acknowledged ICAO's leadership role and agreed to intensify cooperation to enhance aviation security.
- The African Ministers of Transport also met in Luanda, Angola, from 21 to 25 November 2011 to deliberate on aviation security and agreed on the need for a Regional Aviation Security Group to coordinate the implementation of the Abuja Declaration and Roadmap.
- The Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS), with support from the ICAO Western and Central African (WACAF) Office, organized a seminar in Niamey, Niger, in August 2013 with emphasis on the threat posed to civil aviation by man-portable air defense systems (MANPADS). Participants agreed on 12 Recommendations and a Roadmap for mitigating the MANPADS threat to civil aviation in the Region.
- Several seminars on air transport facilitation took place in Africa (2009: Abuja, Nigeria; 2010: Maputo, Mozambique; 2012: Victoria Falls, Zimbabwe; 2013: Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso; 2014: ICAO Facilitation Regional Seminars, Nairobi, Kenya and Cairo, Egypt).
- African Ministers responsible for Civil Aviation Security and Air Transport Facilitation, met in Windhoek, Republic of Namibia, from 7 to 8 April 2016, organized by ICAO and the African Civil Aviation Commission (AFCAC) under the auspices of the African Union Commission (AUC), and made declaration and targets for aviation security and facilitation in Africa; and

- The Africa and Middle East Aviation Security Roadmap, endorsed by the Ministerial Conference on Aviation Security (Sharm El Sheikh, Egypt, 22 to 24 August 2017) formed the basis for the work to be carried out by the relevant regional AVSEC/FAL Groups, in line with the Global Aviation Security Plan (GASeP).

## **1.2 Other common obstacles leading to shortcomings in aviation security (AVSEC) and air transport facilitation (FAL) compliance in the African Region**

1.2.1 There are several other obstacles and impediments in establishing aviation security and air transport facilitation systems and infrastructures in the continent, which differ from one State to the other. Therefore, careful consideration of these would help to improve compliance of aviation security and air transport facilitation SARPs and GASeP roadmap in the continent, in addition to individual state-root cause needs assessment approach devised by the Plan. The following common obstacles have been identified as priority areas of focus:

- a) Lack of political commitment at the national level to prioritize aviation security and air transport facilitation requirements.
- b) Lack or inadequate enforcement powers allocated to the designated Appropriate Authority for security.
- c) Difficulty in establishing a coordination mechanism for Air Transport Facilitation matters, notably, NATFP/AFP and associated Committees due to the multi-agency nature of air transport Facilitation.
- d) Inability to attract, retain and maintain AVSEC and FAL expertise, due to, *inter alia*, the absence of robust employment schemes, training policies, and succession planning.
- e) Underdeveloped aviation security and air transport facilitation infrastructure and processes.
- f) Lack of Security and Air Transport Facilitation culture and established sustainable aviation security training capability.
- g) Difficulty in justifying the resources needed to maintain a national aviation security oversight workforce due to the low volume of air operations.
- h) Difficulty in justifying the resources needed to maintain an air transport facilitation oversight workforce due to the low volume of air operations.
- i) Lack of coordination, and duplication of capacity-building and assistance efforts; and
- j) Unreadiness or inability by some States to resolve existing Significant Security Concerns (SSeC) due to either lack of political will or existing internal political instability or lack of adequate financial resources. .

## **2. MILESTONES ACHIEVED BY THE PLAN FROM INCEPTION (2015 TO 2023)**

2.1 Since its inception in 2015 in Maputo, Mozambique, the Plan has made significant progress under the guidance of the SC with the assistance of the ICAO Secretariat. The AFI SECFAL Plan SC has so far held twelve meetings, by August 2023.

2.2 The short and medium-term phases of the Plan ended on 31 December 2017 and 31 December 2020 respectively, and the long-term phase (2021 to 2023) ended on 31st December 2023. Targets have been largely achieved on the planned activities and deliverables for each phase, despite some challenges. Notable constraints include the outbreak of Covid-

19 Pandemic in early 2020. The achievements form a good foundation for the implementation of the extended period (2024-2030).

**2.3** The eight-year period of the Plan (2015 -2023) has recorded enhanced and strengthened collaboration and awareness between International and Regional organizations such as the African Union Commission (AUC), African Civil Aviation Commission (AFCAC), UN Counter-Terrorism Committee Executive Directorate (CTED), Interpol, Airports Council International (ACI), the European Union (EU), among others, thereby mitigating duplication of efforts and resources as one of the key objectives of the Plan.

**2.4** Following the alignment of the AFI SECFAL Plan work Programme, the Windhoek Declaration and Targets and the Africa and Middle East Aviation Security Roadmap with the GAsEP, the Windhoek Declaration and Targets for Aviation Security and Facilitation in Africa aimed at re-enforcing the political commitment to enhance compliance with the relevant ICAO SARPs were revised and endorsed by the AUC in May 2021.

**2.5** Other notable milestones recorded include securing strong political commitment, building technical experts' capacity, elevating Effective Implementation of the Critical Elements of State Security Oversight Systems (EI of the CEs), and enhancing compliance with provisions of Annexes 9 – Facilitation and 17- Security, through harmonization of processes, sharing of resources/information, and embracing best experiences.

**2.6** In compliance with the AFI SECFAL Plan objectives, the AFI Regional Aviation Security and Facilitation Group (RASFALG-AFI), through AFCAC, has continued to report its activities to the SC and the ICAO Secretary General and the SC Chairperson have provided regular progress reports to the ICAO Council and subsequently to the ICAO 40<sup>th</sup> and 41<sup>st</sup> Assembly.

**2.7** Five priority State-specific projects categorized according to the States needs as approved by the SC were implemented with precedence given to resolving existing SSeCs, preventing new SSeCs, States with low EIs and risk-based targeted assistance. The projects successfully increased capacity to Aviation Security and Facilitation professionals through training and coaching in collaboration with ICAO HQ, AFCAC, States, and partners.

**2.8** Another milestone was the launch of and currently functional AFI - Collaborative Experts Scheme (AFI-CES) under AFCAC, established as a pool of experts available to support the execution of the AFI SECFAL Plan Work Programme activities. The use of experts to execute the State specific projects is inseparable with the recorded steady progress of average EI of the CEs, to attain the GAsEP target of 90% of AFI States to achieve 80% average EI by 2023. The AFI overall average EI (sustainability Indicator) has increased from 56.01% in 2015 (Plan inception) to 64.59 % as at 31<sup>st</sup> December 2023.

**2.9** The Plan enhanced advocacy for visibility and compliance with provisions of ICAO Annex 9 - Facilitation with emphasis on delivery of FAL Training Package and implementation of TRIP Strategy and API/PNR. This approach achieved a slight notable increase of membership of States to ICAO Public Key Directory (PKD) from three (3) in 2015 to 19 in May 2024. In the same vein, the Plan continued to support and liaise with the AUC and AFI States towards the development of the African e-passport and the realization of the goal for free movement of people under the AUC agenda 2063.

**2.10** The Plan supported and continues to support the recovery of aviation from the impact of the COVID-19 through coordination of the implementation of ICAO i-Packs, and other four priority activities funded through a specific allocation of CAD 553,000. During the same period, the Plan supported the implementation of the CART Report Recommendations and take-off guidance, AUC - HLTF towards recovery from COVID-19 impact and building a sustainable and resilient future, the elevation of State security EI of the CEs to achieve the Plan.

### **3. DESCRIPTION OF THE PLAN**

#### **3.1 Objectives**

3.1.1 The overall objective of the AFI SECFAL Plan is to enhance aviation security and facilitation in Africa in a sustainable manner.

3.1.2 The secondary objective of the AFI SECFAL Plan is to coordinate and align all capacity-building and technical support efforts in the field of AVSEC and FAL by ICAO, States, regional and international organizations, and governmental and non-governmental organizations with ICAO policies, in order to contribute to the overall objective.

3.1.3 The AFI SECFAL Plan will also address the need to strengthen various AVSEC and FAL aspects related to organizational, managerial, institutional, economic, educational, and political factors within the Region.

3.1.4 To achieve the goals and objectives of this Plan, it is essential that African States demonstrate strong political and technical commitment to building capacity, particularly in compliance with Annexes 9 and 17 to the Chicago Convention, and to share resources, experience, expertise, and information amongst themselves.

#### **3.2 Plan Overview**

3.2.1 Under ICAO's leadership, the AFI SECFAL Plan calls for collaboration between States authorities and the industry in the implementation of coordinated initiatives aimed at rectifying AVSEC and FAL deficiencies in a sustainable manner. The implementation of the Plan will continue to draw on expertise available in African States under the (AFI -CES) arrangement hosted by AFCAC, ICAO Headquarters, ICAO Regional Offices, and donor States and organizations.

3.2.2 The implementation of the Plan during the extended period of seven years (2024-2030), will be executed in two phases. Phase one covering a period of four years (2024-2027) will focus on the implementation of State Specific Projects based on prioritized individual State needs, taking into account implementation of health-related SARPs in Annex 9 — *Facilitation*, and recommendations of the FAL Stream of HLCC 2021.

3.2.3 Particular focus will also be put on the prevention and resolution of Significant Security Concerns (SSeCs) and the timely implementation of USAP-CMA Corrective Action Plans (CAPs). Phase two of the Plan covering a period of three years (2028-2030), will address key issues that will be identified as persistent by the end of Phase one, and other emerging

challenges. Progress with respect to the satisfactory completion of activities will be monitored throughout the implementation of both phases.

3.2.4 Capacity-building assistance and other AVSEC and FAL resources will be prioritized to meet the specific needs of States and associated risks, based on available resources, and the targeted State's ability to absorb the assistance objectives. States with a high level of deficiencies in their system and a demonstrated commitment to improve, will receive priority, with particular focus to address root causes. The criteria for determining priorities will include an assessment of the level of risk, threat and resource constraints.

### **3.3 Deliverables and expected results.**

3.3.1 Expected deliverables for each activity in each phase have been defined, with the ultimate goal to implement the GAsEP and its future revisions, Windhoek and other regional Targets as adopted and as revised from time to time, preventing acts of unlawful interference against civil aviation while facilitating the efficient movement of passengers and goods.

#### **3.3.2 Phase One (by 31st December 2027)**

3.3.2.1 In Phase One, priority will be given to the improvement of aviation security and facilitation through the enhanced risk awareness and assessment, develop security culture, strengthen border controls, increase regional cooperation and improve oversight and quality control to raise the level of aviation security such that 65 per cent of States in the region reach a minimum 75 per cent level of effective implementation (EI) of the critical elements (CEs) of a State's aviation security oversight system.

3.3.2.2 At the end of this phase, it is expected that the following action will have been accomplished:

- a) Appropriate Authorities for AVSEC and FAL are clearly defined in the national legislative framework, have the resources specifically assigned to these areas and sufficient enforcement powers for inspectors.
- b) All States to have functional National Civil Aviation Security Committee (NCASC) and National Air Transport Facilitation Committee (NATFC);
- c) All State National programmes: National Civil Aviation Security Programme (NCASP), National Civil Aviation Security Quality Control Programme (NCASQCP), National Civil Aviation Security Training Programme (NCASTP), procedures and policies are consistent with current Annex 17.
- d) All assistance activities in the African Region are actively coordinated amongst partners to optimize use of resources and avoid duplication of efforts.
- e) Number of States with API implementation, member to the ICAO PKD and those with PNR implementation increase to at least 60% in the AFI States.
- f) All AFI States have National Air Transport Facilitation programmes in place.
- g) Risk management and sound crisis management procedures are established in all States.
- h) Achieve sustainable aviation security and air transport facilitation training capability and adequate human resources policies within the States.
- i) Existence of a well-trained, motivated and professional work force in the States.

- j) States have effective quality control and oversight processes for sustainable and effective aviation security.
- k) Increased State capability to identify gaps and vulnerabilities, which can then be urgently addressed in the most practical way possible, and with optimal use of resources.
- l) Increased ability by the AFI region to significantly contribute in enabling the key security objectives achieved more quickly and efficiently by contributing resources to ICAO to enhance ICAO's capability and effectiveness in delivering assistance;
- m) All African States to Implement a national strategy or framework related to evidence of identity involving the tracing, linkage and verification of identity against breeder documents to ensure the authenticity of identity and all other elements of the ICAO TRIP Strategy.
- n) All African States strengthen border and travel document security, passenger screening procedures and biometric information systems; and
- o) All African States report on a regular basis, lost and stolen passports to the INTERPOL Stolen and Lost Travel Documents Database (SLTD).

### 3.3.3 Phase Two (*by 31st December 2030*)

3.3.3.1 In Phase Two, the objective is to improve technological resources and encourage innovation, resolve and prevent Significant Security Concerns (SSeCs).

3.3.3.2 At the end of Phase Two it is expected that:

- a) 80 per cent of States in the African region reach or surpass 75 per cent EI in line with the new GAsEP aspirational goals
- b) States apply better technological solutions and innovative techniques that provide the tools for enhancing security effectiveness and facilitating the movement of passengers and goods while ensuring operational efficiency.
- c) States have a robust aviation security culture and resources needed to maintain a national aviation security oversight workforce commensurate with the volume of air operations.
- d) States have robust coordination and capacity-building capabilities.
- e) UNSC Resolution 2309 (2016) is fully implemented.
- f) States have sufficient capacity to prevent Significant Security Concerns (SSeCs) or to resolve them as soon as practicable after notification by ICAO following a USAP audit; and
- g) Advance Passenger Information (API) and Passenger Name Record (PNR) systems are effectively implemented.

3.3.4 The table at [Appendix B](#) outlines Phase One (2024-2027), with objectives, outputs and activities. The Appendix will be revised on completion of Phase One, to outline the objectives, outputs and activities of Phase Two (2028-2030).

## 3.4 Link between the AFI SECFAL Plan and ICAO's Strategic Objective relating to Aviation Security and Facilitation

3.4.1 ICAO's Strategic Objective: *Enhance global civil aviation security and facilitation* reflects the need for ICAO's leadership in aviation security, cyber security for civil aviation, air transport facilitation and related border security matters. This Plan



AFI SECFAL Plan

reaffirms both the ICAO Strategic Objectives and GAsEP Objectives and the outlined key activities; by creating a framework through which African States, donor States, Organizations and industry can coordinate their activities with a view to ensuring the effective implementation of aviation security and air transport facilitation.

- 3.4.2 The Plan is implemented in line with the ICAO Aviation Security Assistance and Capacity Building Strategy, Implementation Support Policy, the ICAO Training Policy, the "*No Country Left Behind*" (NCLB) initiative and other relevant ICAO policies and guidelines. This initiative resolved that ICAO itself should provide more direct assistance to developing countries by playing a more active coordination role between States and by helping to generate the political will needed for States to pool resources, participate in regional efforts, earmark voluntary funds and build capacity. The main goal of this work is to help ensure that SARPs implementation is better harmonized globally so that all States have access to the socio-economic benefits of safe, secure and reliable air transport.

### **3.5 Link between the AFI SECFAL Plan and GAsEP**

- 3.5.1 This Plan is directly linked and interwoven with the Global Aviation Security Plan (GAsEP) whose key objective is to help ICAO, States and stakeholders enhance the effectiveness of global aviation security. The GAsEP therefore, seeks to unite the international aviation security community and inspire actions in this direction, taking into account that the threats and risks faced by the civil aviation community continue to evolve. It is also intended to achieve the shared and common goal of enhancing aviation security worldwide and to help States come together to fulfil the commitments set out in UNSCR 2309 (2016) and relevant ICAO Assembly Resolutions.

### **3.6 Constraints and Risks**

- 3.6.1 In order to effectively and efficiently implement the AFI SECFAL Plan in the Region, and to achieve its objectives even within the unlikely events of pandemics such as COVID-19 and recovery processes, a number of constraints and risks have been identified. These are:

- a need for financial and in-kind resources.
- a need for States to be responsive and engaged.
- impact of civil conflicts and health concerns in the Region on assistance activities.
- travel restrictions caused by pandemics and associated impact on aviation sector.

- 3.6.2 In order to ensure these constraints and risks do not negatively impact the implementation of the Plan, the following mitigating mechanisms need to be implemented:

- establishment of a sustainable source of funding and in-kind resources.
- early warning from Regional Offices to the Steering Committee and to the ICAO Council in cases of "limited responsiveness and engagement by States";

- a need for the Steering Committee to track financial and in-kind contributions to identify potential concerns.
- a need for the Steering Committee to closely track individual projects to identify challenges related to security and health concerns in specific States so as to identify possible solutions.

## 4. PLAN MANAGEMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION

### 4.1 Management

4.1.1 In accordance with ICAO Council decision C-DEC 203/2, the AFI SECFAL Plan was established as an ICAO Programme which was further reaffirmed by Assembly resolutions A39-38 and A40-23. African States shall remain responsible for aviation security and air transport facilitation consistent with the obligations under the Chicago Convention and its Annexes. As an ICAO Programme, AFI SECFAL Plan functions as a focal point for the prioritization of state specific projects, coordination of activities, mobilization of political will and resources, and monitoring progress.

4.1.2 The Plan will be implemented through the application of a Programme management process with clearly defined objectives, output, activities and metrics. This process will also include continuous monitoring and evaluation.

4.1.3 The table at [Appendix B](#) also outlines the management and implementation process of the Plan. Performance indicators are included for the purpose of measuring output.

### 4.2 Roles and responsibilities

4.2.1 The Plan is managed by the Regional Director, Eastern and Southern African Region (ESAF), Nairobi, (Programme Executive), who assumes secretariat responsibilities and reports progress to the AFI SECFAL Steering Committee (SC) in close coordination with the Director, Air Transport Bureau. The Secretary General of ICAO reports on the AFI SECFAL Programme activities and progress to the ICAO Council.

4.2.2 The **AFI SECFAL Steering Committee (SC)**, was established by the Secretary General at the inception of the Plan. Members of the SC are drawn from States, the ICAO Council, international and regional organizations, and assistance partners. Other stakeholders may participate in and contribute to the work of the SC on an ad hoc basis at the invitation of the Secretary General in consultation with the SC.

4.2.3 The SC provides support, guidance and oversight of progress related to the AFI SECFAL Plan implementation. SC meetings will meet once a year, ideally during the African Region aviation week (AFI week), usually hosted by African State. Other meetings can be convened during the year depending on the needs and activities of the Plan. The Chairperson of the SC is elected/nominated by the members of the SC. The Chairperson shall serve a three-year term.

4.2.4 The mandate of the SC includes approving the Secretariat's work Programme for the four-year period from January 2024 to December 2027 which will also be revised and updated on an annual basis. By December 2027, a three-year work Programme for the period

from January 2028 to December 2030 will be developed and approved and shall similarly be revised and updated on an annual basis. All Work Programmes will detail the identified objectives and outputs, and the activities to be undertaken.

4.2.5 The Steering Committee will monitor the progress of implementation of activities undertaken under the Plan and report to the Secretary General every year. Membership of the Committee will ensure representation of all stakeholders and interested parties, as detailed in [Appendix C](#). The AFI SECFAL Plan Steering Committee Terms of Reference (ToRs) are detailed in a separate document.

4.2.6 Relevant ICAO Secretariat offices (Headquarters and Regional Offices) will contribute to the implementation of the Plan.

4.2.7 As a full member of the SC, the African Civil Aviation Commission (AFCAC) will be involved in the definition of the Plan Strategy and the coordination of its implementation.

4.2.8 A **Regional Security and Facilitation Group for the AFI Region (RASFALG - AFI)**, established under the umbrella of AFCAC, supports the SC in identifying regional security and air transport facilitation issues and helps to increase awareness amongst stakeholders. It also supports the SC in monitoring progress, providing technical expertise and implementing the Plan in order to improve aviation security and facilitation in the region. Under the auspices of AFCAC, the AFI Collaborative Experts Scheme (AFI-CES) serves as a platform for providing technical support and assistance to enable Plan's activities using a pool of experts from the African region.

### 4.3 Financial requirements and management

4.3.1 The financial requirements and voluntary contributions associated with the Plan will be administered by the ICAO Finance Branch at ICAO Headquarters in coordination with ATB and the Plan secretariat. Furthermore, the Plan secretariat will be responsible for the execution and delivery of the Plan's resource mobilization strategy. The overall management of the Plan will be the responsibility of the ESAF Regional Director (Programme Executive) under the direction of the ICAO Secretary General and the Steering Committee.

4.3.2 Overall coordination of the AFI SECFAL Plan with various stakeholders will be carried out by the Programme Executive with support from ICAO Headquarters.

### 4.4 Timelines and key Deliverables and Targets

4.4.1 As cited herein under section 3 (*Description of the Plan*), the implementation of the Plan during the extended period from 2024 to 2030 has been broken down into two phases in order to ensure that all stakeholders have a clear view of the expected results and associated indicators. Key deliverables and targets include the following:

- a) Sixty five (65) per cent of AFI States have achieved a minimum 75 percent EI of the CEs by 31 December 2027 and 80% of States to have achieved a minimum of 75 per cent EI of the CEs by 31st December 2030.
- b) States have designated the appropriate authority for aviation security and established a National Air Transportation Facilitation Committee, with

- sufficient powers, effective coordination mechanisms, and detailed national programmes consistent with Annex 9 and Annex 17;
- c) Increased cooperation and support amongst partners to optimize resources and avoid duplication of efforts.
  - d) Increased number of States implementing API and PNR, ICAO PKD Membership and ICAO TRIP Strategy elements, including enhanced border control and integrity and utilization of the INTERPOL Stolen and Lost Travel Documents Database in the States in the AFI Region.
  - e) Enhanced and implemented risk awareness and response in the States for application of aviation security measures based on risk, identified gaps and security vulnerabilities.
  - f) Well-developed, sustainable, strong, and effective security and facilitation culture and human capability, motivated staff, and sound national level human resource policies.
  - g) Improved oversight and quality control in the States for sustainable and effective aviation security.
  - h) All existing Significant Security Concerns (SSECs) resolved and increased ability in States to identify and mitigate potential SSECs in a timely manner.
  - i) States apply better technological solutions and innovative techniques for enhancing security effectiveness and operational efficiency; and
  - j) Implementation of recommendations of the FAL Stream of the High-level Conference on COVID-19 (HLCC) 2021.

#### **4.5 Identification of Stakeholders**

4.5.1 At the national level, the Plan aims to bring together stakeholders in the civil aviation sector with other agencies involved in security and air transport facilitation-related matters, such as law enforcement agencies, including the police and State security services, disaster management agencies, immigration, customs, health services, defense forces, etc.

4.5.2 At the regional level, other strengths underpinning the effective implementation of the Plan include the establishment of the RASFALG-AFI and the support of the aviation security and air transport facilitation experts (AFI-CES) with unique local knowledge that are able to implement best practices, as well as African States that are able to lead mentorship programmes.

4.5.3 At an international level, the ICAO partners will work to achieve the objectives of the Plan and will be involved at all stages of its implementation. A non-exhaustive list of international stakeholders can be found in [Appendix C](#).

#### **4.6 Coordination with other relevant programmes and plans**

4.6.1 There are a number of other assistance programmes and plans being implemented in the African Region related to aviation security and air transport /facilitation. To avoid duplication of efforts, optimize resources and assure conformity with ICAO's policy, all aviation security and air transport facilitation activities in the Region will be coordinated and reported to the Steering Committee and the RASFALG-AFI to the extent possible or practicable.

## **4.7 Communication strategy**

4.7.1 An effective communication strategy will be developed and deployed in coordination with relevant ICAO HQ offices, including the Communications Section (COM), and will be maintained and enhanced in order to ensure all implementing partners understand the rationale of the AFI SECFAL Plan and the progress in its implementation.

4.7.2 The communication strategy will be designed to engage all relevant internal and external stakeholders, including all partners, donors and beneficiary States.

4.7.3 The communication strategy will support the collection of feedback on the activities of the Plan which will be used as an input to the monitoring and evaluation mechanism to bring about continuous improvement in the execution of the Plan (see below).

4.7.4 The communication strategy will encourage the coordination of efforts by the organizations and agencies involved in aviation security and air transport facilitation to ensure the success of the Plan.

## **5. RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS, BUDGET ESTIMATE, AND FUNDING**

### **5.1 Resource requirements**

5.1.1 The existing human resources of the ICAO Regional Offices and ICAO Headquarters will continue to be directly involved in the implementation of the AFI SECFAL Plan. These resources include administrative and technical staff. Consideration should be given to adding Programme management staff to structure and support the activities undertaken by the administrative and technical staff.

5.1.2 The current level of ICAO Regular Programme financial resources dedicated to the AFI SECFAL Plan is not adequate to effectively support the Plan and its successful implementation. Consequently, there is a continuing need to supplement the resources provided under the Regular Programme with voluntary contributions through resource mobilization activities to sustain the AFI SECFAL Plan Fund. Budget estimates derived for the activities detailed in Appendix B, for the implementation of the AFI SECFAL Plan during the period 2024-2027, are listed in [Appendix D](#). In summary, it is estimated that USD **1,196,000** is required for the four-year period 2024-2027 or a total of **USD 299,000** per year.

### **5.2 Funding arrangements**

5.2.1 The funding will continue to be provided through the ICAO Regular Programme, voluntary contributions, and other sources of funding as shall be identified.

## **6. MONITORING AND EVALUATION**

### **6.1 Monitoring and reporting system.**

6.1.1 To ensure the achievement of the established objectives and targets of the Plan, progress will be continuously monitored and reported against clear performance indicators. In this regard, a monitoring and reporting system will be developed and implemented.

6.1.2 The AFI SECFAL Plan progress and performance will primarily be measured in terms of effective progress and delivery of its activities and established target deliverables, objectives, recorded milestones, timely resolution of noted deficiencies, impact of training activities, nature of major aviation security incidents and timely mitigation/resolution of identified Significant Security Concerns (SSeCs). The effectiveness of the above-mentioned deliverables of the Plan will further be measured in terms of USAP-CMA findings and increase of AFI average effective implementation (EI) of the Critical Elements of an aviation security oversight system (CEs). It is also envisaged that once validated, the future ICAO aviation security assistance performance indicators will be integrated for use as monitoring tools.

6.1.3 ICAO's Regional Offices and AFCAC will play an important role in achieving progress through their continuous assistance and engagement with the African States and by helping to evaluate the rate of improvement of aviation security and air transport facilitation in coordination with ICAO HQ.

6.1.4 Monitoring and reporting responsibilities are detailed in [Appendix E](#).

## **6.2 Periodic Review and Evaluation**

6.2.1 To assess the implementation and impact of the AFI SECFAL Plan, periodic reviews and evaluations will be done on the activities and deliverables. The first evaluation of the AFI SECFAL Plan will be completed by 31 December 2027. The evaluation will provide a review of the Plan objectives, targets, deliverables, and outcomes aimed at improving the Plan and providing recommendations to stakeholders to inform the way forward. The evaluation will address, amongst other things, how well the AFI SECFAL Plan is continuously adapting to the evolving needs of the States in Africa, the effectiveness of its management, the sustainability in the long term of its outcomes, the identification of core competencies for AVSEC and FAL staff development, opportunities for partnership, and the required sources of sustainable funding.

---

**Date**

---

**Secretary General**

## 5. APPENDIX A – ACRONYMS

| English  | Acronym  | Acronyme     | Français   |
|--|----------|--------------|--|
| Africa   | AFI      | AFI          | Afrique  |
| African Centre for the Study and Research on Terrorism                     | ACSRT    | CAERT        | Centre Africain d'Etudes et de Recherche sur le Terrorisme   |
| African Civil Aviation Commission  | AFCAC    | CAFAC        | Commission Africaine de l'Aviation Civile  |
| Agency for the Safety of Air Navigation in Africa and Madagascar           | ASECNA   | ASECNA       | Agence pour la Sécurité de la Navigation Aérienne en Afrique et à Madagascar                           |
| Airport Security Programme   | ASP      | PSA          | Programme de sûreté aéroportuaire  |
| ICAO Aviation Security Training Centre                                     | ASTC     | ASTC ou CFSA | Centre de Formation à la Sûreté de l'aviation de l'OACI  |
| African Union  | AU       | UA           | Union Africaine  |
| Aviation Security  | AVSEC    | AVSEC        | Sûreté de l'aviation civile  |
| Civil Aviation Authority   | CAA      | ANAC         | Agence nationale de l'aviation civile  |
| Central African Economic and Monetary Community                            | CAEMC    | CEMAC        | Communauté Économique et Monétaire de l'Afrique Centrale   |
| East African Community Civil Aviation Safety and Security Oversight Agency | CASSOA   | CASSOA       |  |
| Critical Elements  | CE       | CE           | Éléments cruciaux  |
| Crisis Management Plan   | CMP      | PGC          | Plan de gestion des crises   |
|  | CRASAC   | CRASAC       | Cellule Régionale d'Assistance à la Sûreté de l'Aviation Civile  |
| Economic Community of West African States                                  | ECOWAS   | CEDEAO       | Communauté Économique des Etats de L'Afrique de l'Ouest  |
| Effective implementation   | EI       | EI           | Mise en œuvre effective  |
|  | ERNAM    | ERNAM        | Ecole Régionale pour la Navigation Aérienne et de Management   |
| Facilitation   | FAL      | FAL          | Facilitation   |
| ICAO Global Aviation Training  | GAT      | GAT          |  |
| International Air Transport Association                                    | IATA     | IATA         | Association Internationale du Transport Aérien   |
| International Civil Aviation Organization                                  | ICAO     | OACI         | Organisation de l'Aviation Civile Internationale   |
| International Organization for Migration                                   | IOM      | OIM          | Organisation Internationale des Migrations   |
| Lack of Effective Implementation   | LEI      | LEI          | Absence de mise en œuvre effective   |
| Man-portable air-defense systems   | MANPADs  | MANPAD       | Système de défense anti-aérien portable  |
| ICAO Monitoring and Assistance Review Board                                | MARB     | MARB         |  |
| National Facilitation Programme  | NATFP    | PNFTA        | Programme national de facilitation du transport aérien   |
| National Civil Aviation Security Programme                                 | NCASP    | PNSAC        | Programme national de sûreté de l'aviation civile  |
| National Civil Aviation Security Training Programme                        | NCASTP   | PNFSAC       | Programme national de formation de sûreté de l'aviation civile   |
| No Country Left Behind (campaign)  | NCLB     | NCLB         | (la campagne) "Aucun pays laissé de côté"  |
| National Civil Aviation Security Quality Control Programme                 | NCQCP    | PNCQ         | Programme national de contrôle de la qualité de la sûreté de l'aviation civile                         |
| Programme Executive  | PE       | DP           | Directeur de programme   |
| Point of Contact   | POC      | POC          | Point de contact   |
| West and Central Africa Air Transport Safety and Security Program          | PRSSAAOC | PRSSAAOC     | Programme Régional Sûreté et Sécurité des Transports Aériens en Afrique de l'Ouest et Afrique Centrale |
| Regional Aviation Security and Facilitation Group                          | RASFG    | RASFG        | Groupe régional de sûreté de l'aviation et de la facilitation  |
| Standards and Recommended Practices  | SARPs    | SARP         | Normes et pratiques recommandées   |
| Steering Committee   | SC       | COPIL        | Comité de pilotage   |
| Standard Operating Procedures  | SOP      | PEN          | Procédure d'exploitation normalisées   |
| Significant Security Concerns  | SSeC     | SSeC         | Préoccupation significative de sûreté  |
| Terms of Reference   | TOR      | TDR          | Termes de référence  |
| ICAO Traveller Identification Programme                                    | TRIP     | TRIP         | Programme d'identification des voyageurs de l'OACI   |
| United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime                                   | UNODC    | ONUDC        | Organisation des Nations Unies contre la Drogue et le Crime  |
| ICAO Universal Security Audit Programme                                    | USAP     | USAP         | Programme universel d'audits de sûreté   |
| ICAO Universal Security Audit Programme - Continuous Monitoring Approach   | USAP-CMA | USAP-CMA     | Programme universel d'audits de sûreté - méthode de surveillance continue                              |
| West African Economic and Monetary Union                                   | WAEMU    | UEMOA        | Union Economique et Monétaire Ouest Africaine  |
| World Bank   | WB       | BM           | Banque Mondiale  |

6. APPENDIX B – MANAGEMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION (OBJECTIVES, OUTPUTS AND ACTIVITIES)

| OUTPUT   |   | KPIs/MEASURE OF DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES   |   |   |   | OUTCOME/KPI  |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| OBJECTIVES   | ACTIVITIES/PROJECTS   | BY END OF 2024  | BY END OF 2025  | BY END OF 2026  | BY END OF 2027  | TARGET /IMPACT   |
| <b>All assistance activities in the African Region are actively coordinated to meet the ICAO requirements at a minimum</b> | Adequate coordination Provided through Plan SC, RASFALG AFI and other forums Enhance collaboration and coordination with EU, ACI, AUC, AFCAC, AFRAA, IATA, RECs RSOOs, UNOCT, INTERPOL, EU, Partners and donors through sharing of information and programmes and participation in activities of mutual interest. | Work Programmes and priorities coordinated and harmonized regularly/annually/periodically (virtual and one in-person)                     | Coordinated Work Programmes and priorities regularly updated and implemented (virtual and one in-person)                                  | Coordinated Work Programmes and priorities regularly updated and implemented (virtual and one in-person)              | Coordinated Work Programmes and priorities regularly updated and implemented (virtual and one in-person)              | increased cooperation and support  |
|  |   | Number of coordinated and Joint activities  | Number of coordinated and Joint activities  | Number of coordinated and Joint activities  | Number of coordinated and Joint activities and non-coordinated activities   | Reduced or zero duplication of efforts and resources                     |
| <b>Enhanced political will needed for States to prioritize Civil aviation to national development</b>                      | Presentation of the Plan in regional meetings and engagement with Regional Economic Communities (RECs) and AU Secretariat for necessary endorsements and updates  | At least two presentations /interaction/missions made to regional activities and necessary endorsements obtained from States, RECS and AU | At least two presentations /interaction/missions made to regional activities and necessary endorsements obtained from States, RECS and AU | At least two presentations /interaction/missions made to regional activities and necessary endorsements obtained from | At least two presentations /interaction/missions made to regional activities and necessary endorsements obtained from | Heightened political will in states to implement ICAO SARPs in AVSEC/FAL |



| OUTPUT   |   | KPIs/MEASURE OF DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES                                       |   |   |   | OUTCOME/KPI  |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| OBJECTIVES   | ACTIVITIES/PROJECTS   | BY END OF 2024  | BY END OF 2025  | BY END OF 2026  | BY END OF 2027  | TARGET /IMPACT   |
|  |   |   |   | States, RECS and AU   | States, RECS and AU   |  |
|  | Perform activities and high-level missions to promote aviation security and facilitation.   | Number of High-level Missions conducted   | Number of High-level Missions conducted   | Number of High-level Missions conducted   | Number of High-level Missions conducted   |  |
|  | Participate in regional activities and highlight the relevance and importance of Aviation security and facilitation and coordinate the implementation of the Windhoek Declaration and Targets | Increased number of states compliant to or meeting the Windhoek Targets           | Increased number of states compliant to or meeting the Windhoek Targets           | Increased number of states compliant to or meeting the Windhoek Targets           | Increased number of states compliant to or meeting the Windhoek Targets           | Increased number of AFI States Prioritized aviation security and facilitation at national level                                      |
| <b>All AFI States have promulgated Regulations, detailed national programmes, procedures, and practices consistent with current Annex 17, that include sufficient Inspector qualifications and training, enforcement powers,</b> | Outreach and awareness campaign and support projects under the AFI SECFAL Plan and aviation security and facilitation culture Provided  | Number of states with detailed programmes consistent to Annex 17 increased by 50% | Number of states with detailed programmes consistent to Annex 17 increased by 60% | Number of states with detailed programmes consistent to Annex 17 increased by 70% | Number of states with detailed programmes consistent to Annex 17 increased by 80% | 80% of States have promulgated Regulations, detailed national programmes, procedures, and practices consistent with current Annex 17 |
|  |   | At least six states supported   | At least six states supported   | At least six states supported   | At least six states supported   |  |

| OUTPUT  |   | KPIS/MEASURE OF DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES  |  |  |  | OUTCOME/KPI   |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| OBJECTIVES  | ACTIVITIES/PROJECTS   | BY END OF 2024   | BY END OF 2025   | BY END OF 2026   | BY END OF 2027   | TARGET /IMPACT  |
| <b>coordination mechanism, identification of critical information, communication systems.</b>   |   | Four State Specific Projects developed/Implemented   | Four State Specific Projects developed/Implemented   | Four State Specific Projects developed/Implemented   | Four State Specific Projects developed/Implemented   |   |
| <b>All AFI States to have a functional National Civil Aviation Security Committee (NCASC) and a National Civil Air Transport Facilitation Committee (NATFC)</b> | State Specific projects and i-Parks developed and implemented.<br>Tailored Trainings, workshops and coaching provided.  | Number of state specific projects developed, and states assisted                                 | Number of pilot projects developed and implemented   | Number of pilot projects developed   | Number of pilot projects developed   | Increase in number of AFI States that have functional National Civil Aviation Security Committee (NCASC) and National Civil Air Transport Facilitation Committee (100%) |
|   |   | Deliver two relevant iPacks, two workshops and two trainings                                     | Deliver two relevant iPacks, two workshops and two trainings                                     | Deliver two relevant iPacks, two workshops and two trainings                                     | Deliver two relevant iPacks, two workshops and two trainings                                     |   |
|   |   | Number of staff trained  | Number of staff trained  | Number of staff trained  | Number of staff trained  |   |
| <b>Appropriate Authorities for Aviation Security and Facilitation are clearly defined and have the resources specifically assigned to these areas</b>           | Support to States still in needs to develop national legislation, regulations and NCASP's Provided<br><br>In-house/State Training capacity Reinforced through Workshops, courses, ad-hoc support. | Number of states supported to remedy deficiencies in National Legislative framework (CEs 1 to 5) | Number of states supported to remedy deficiencies in National Legislative framework (CEs 1 to 5) | Number of states supported to remedy deficiencies in National Legislative framework (CEs 1 to 5) | Number of states supported to remedy deficiencies in National Legislative framework (CEs 1 to 5) | Increase in the resources dedicated to AVSEC and FAL demonstrated during USAP-CMA and States reaching the EI of 90% by end of 2027                                      |

| OUTPUT   |   | KPIs/MEASURE OF DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES                        |   |   |   | OUTCOME/KPI  |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| OBJECTIVES   | ACTIVITIES/PROJECTS   | BY END OF 2024   | BY END OF 2025  | BY END OF 2026  | BY END OF 2027  | TARGET /IMPACT   |
|  | Organization of train-the-trainers' courses on a local, sub regional and regional basis, state specific and at ASTCs. | Number of trainers and trainees at the national and regional level | Number of trainers and trainees at the national and regional levels | Number of trainers and trainees at the national and regional levels | Number of trainers and trainees at the national and regional levels |  |
|  | Develop/implement State Specific projects to address (CEs 1 through 5)  | Number of State Specific projects developed and implemented        | Number of State Specific projects developed and implemented         | Number of State Specific projects developed and implemented         | Number of State Specific projects developed and implemented         |  |
| <b>Resolution of existing and prevention of new SSeCs and facilitate timely implementation of USAP-CMA Corrective Action Plans</b> | Existing SSeCs resolved, new ones prevented/resolved  | Number of resolved SSeCs.  | Number of resolved SSeCs.   | Number of resolved SSeCs.   | Number of resolved SSeCs.   | Zero SSeCs in the AFI Region   |
|  | Assistance and validation missions conducted, and projects developed and implemented                                  | Number of Mitigated new SSeCs                                      | Number of Mitigated new SSeCs                                       | Number of Mitigated new SSeCs                                       | Number of Mitigated new SSeCs                                       | All existing Significant Security Concerns (SSeCs) in the region and any new SSeCs are resolved timely |
|  | Support timely development and implementation of AFI States' corrective action plans following USAP-CMA Audits        | Number of states/projects/missions conducted                       | Number of states/projects/missions conducted                        | Number of states/projects/missions conducted                        | Number of states/projects/missions conducted                        |  |
| <b>All African States have National Air Transport Facilitation</b>   | Support to States in needs to develop and implement NATFP, through follow-ups, Seminars, iPacks, trainings and        | Number of trainings and States supported                           | Number of trainings and States supported                            | Number of trainings and States supported                            | Number of trainings and States supported                            | All AFI States have an approved NATFP in line with the latest  |

| OUTPUT   |   | KPI/MEASURE OF DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES   |  |  |  | OUTCOME/KPI  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| OBJECTIVES   | ACTIVITIES/PROJECTS   | BY END OF 2024   | BY END OF 2025   | BY END OF 2026   | BY END OF 2027   | TARGET /IMPACT   |
| <b>Programmes (NATFPs) and NATFCs in place</b>   | workshops at the Regional, State and airport levels, mentoring; and ad-hoc support  | Number of personnel trained in FAL related modules on NATFP  | Number of personnel trained in FAL related modules on NATFP                          | Number of personnel trained in FAL related modules on NATFP                          | Number of personnel trained in FAL related modules on NATFP                          | amendments to Annex 9. 90% of States to have NATFPs and NATFCs established with specific roles for public health crises.   |
| <b>Enhance risk awareness and assessment with robust risk and crisis management procedures established in all AFI States</b> | Support to States in needs to develop and implement risk management and crisis management procedures<br>Keep global and regional threat picture under regular review and enhance dissemination of threat and risk advice.   | Deliver at least one regional Risk Management Workshop (RMW), one Insider Risk Workshop (IRW), and one Crisis Management Workshop (CMW) to states in need. | Deliver at least one regional RMW, one IRW, and one CMW to states in need            | Deliver at least one regional RMW, one IRW, and one CMW to states in need            | Deliver at least one regional RMW, one IRW, and one CMW to states in need            | Enhanced risk awareness and response in AFI States<br><br>80% of States have risk management methodology and crisis management procedures in place.<br>80% of States |
|  | Deliver training, workshops, seminars, coaching etc. on risk management, insider risk, and crisis management at regional, national and airport levels<br>Mentoring/coaching to States in need to develop and implement risk management and crisis management procedures | Number of personnel trained in risk management, crisis management and cyber security   | Number of personnel trained in risk management, crisis management and cyber security | Number of personnel trained in risk management, crisis management and cyber security | Number of personnel trained in risk management, crisis management and cyber security | Number of personnel trained in risk management, crisis management and cyber security   |

| OUTPUT   |  | KPIs/MEASURE OF DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES   |  |  |  | OUTCOME/KPI  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| OBJECTIVES   | ACTIVITIES/PROJECTS  | BY END OF 2024  | BY END OF 2025   | BY END OF 2026   | BY END OF 2027   | TARGET /IMPACT   |
|  | Improve current screening arrangements and application of security measures in light of national risk assessments, emerging threats, MANPADs, RPAS, cyber security, insider threat and land side security.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Improve Oversight processes and Quality Assurance for sustainable and effective aviation security</b> | Analyze AFI USAP-CMA results and data to identify root causes and implementation issues that are systemic and require attention  | Annual analysis of the USAP-CMA results to identify root causes and prioritize support activities based on criticality and root causes    | Annual analysis of the USAP-CMA results to identify root causes and prioritize support activities based on criticality and root causes updated | Annual analysis of the USAP-CMA results to identify root causes and prioritize support activities based on criticality and root causes updated | Annual analysis of the USAP-CMA results to identify root causes and prioritize support activities based on criticality and root causes updated | Availability of sufficient qualified National Auditors/Inspectors and other personnel in the AFI Region.<br><br>At least 80% of states in the AFI Region, have improved, effective oversight processes and Quality control for sustainable and effective aviation security in the AFI Region |
|  | Promote collaboration, peer review and sharing of information amongst African States on best practices, security programmes, technical expertise, guidance materials and tools, fostering optimum utilization of AVSEC resources and expertise within the African region | Support missions and projects conducted through use of Short-Term Experts and AFI-CES, co-opted from states with strong oversight systems | Support missions and projects conducted through use of Short-Term Experts and AFI-CES, co-opted from states with strong oversight systems      | Support missions and projects conducted through use of Short-Term Experts and AFI-CES, co-opted from states with strong oversight systems      | Support missions and projects conducted through use of Short-Term Experts and AFI-CES, co-opted from states with strong oversight systems      | Support missions and projects conducted through use of Short-Term Experts and AFI-CES, co-opted from states with strong oversight systems  |

| OUTPUT   |  | KPIs/MEASURE OF DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES  |  |  |  | OUTCOME/KPI  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| OBJECTIVES   | ACTIVITIES/PROJECTS  | BY END OF 2024   | BY END OF 2025   | BY END OF 2026   | BY END OF 2027   | TARGET /IMPACT   |
|  | Conduct QC Workshops (QCWs) and National Inspectors Courses to build capacity and raise awareness on QC to AFI States.   | At least 40 and 20 personnel trained in QCW and inspectors' course respectively  | At least 40 and 20 personnel trained in QCW and inspectors' course respectively  | At least 40 and 20 personnel trained in QCW and inspectors' course respectively  | At least 40 and 20 personnel trained in QCW and inspectors' course respectively  |  |
| <b>Strengthening Border Controls through Implementation of ICAO TRIP Strategy, implementation of UNSC Resolution 2309 (2016) and Issuance of machine readable "Convention Travel Documents" to refugees and stateless persons.</b> | Support AFI States to implement all the Annex 9 Standards related to the ICAO TRIP Strategy, including use of INTERPOL databases, development /establishment rules and procedures for STLDs and e-links with INTERPOL reporting stolen, lost and revoked travel documents, issuing MRCTDs and implementing an API /PNR systems.<br>Promote membership of the ICAO (PKD) through seminars/conferences and State missions. | Perform at least one seminar in the AFI on API /PNR /PKD /SLTD to assist states' compliance.<br>Continued Liaison with ATB to support of African ePassport project to ensure compliance with the Doc 9303 specifications to enable full interoperability at the borders. | Perform at least one seminar in the AFI on API /PNR /PKD /SLTD to assist states' compliance.<br>Continued Liaison with ATB to support of African ePassport project to ensure compliance with the Doc 9303 specifications to enable full interoperability at the borders. | Perform at least one seminar in the AFI on API /PNR /PKD /SLTD to assist states' compliance.<br>Continued Liaison with ATB to support of African ePassport project to ensure compliance with the Doc 9303 specifications to enable full interoperability at the borders. | Perform at least one seminar in the AFI on API /PNR /PKD /SLTD to assist states' compliance.<br>Continued Liaison with ATB to support of African ePassport project to ensure compliance with the Doc 9303 specifications to enable full interoperability at the borders. | 80% of States in AFI invest in improving basic sources of reliable data reporting information about SLTD for inclusion in the INTERPOL (SLTD) database<br>Increased number of states in the AFI, implementing API/PNR systems and joining ICAO PKD (80%) in line with UNSC Resolution 2309 (2016)<br>All AFI States issue only MRCTDs to refugees and stateless persons. |
|  | Conduct capacity building on ICAO TRIP Strategy at Regional and State levels and promote awareness of benefits of API  | Conduct ICAO FAL Course (English) and TRIP Course (French) for AFI   | Conduct ICAO FAL Course (French) and TRIP Course (English) for AFI   | Conduct ICAO FAL Course (English) and  | Conduct ICAO FAL Course (French) and   |  |

| OUTPUT  |   | KPIs/MEASURE OF DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES   |   |   |   | OUTCOME/KPI   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| OBJECTIVES  | ACTIVITIES/PROJECTS   | BY END OF 2024  | BY END OF 2025  | BY END OF 2026  | BY END OF 2027  | TARGET /IMPACT  |
|   | and provide guidance on implementation API /PNR systems;  |   |   | TRIP Course (French)  | TRIP Course (English) for AFI   |   |
| <b>All African Region States have ratified relevant international Legal instruments</b> | Promote ratification of all relevant international instruments and assist States in their implementation, through legal seminars and during technical missions and high-level interactions and meetings   | One legal Seminar conducted.<br>Interaction with at least two states on ratification of international Legal instruments   | One legal Seminar conducted.<br>Interaction with at least two states on ratification of international Legal instruments   | One legal Seminar conducted.<br>Interaction with at least two states on ratification of international Legal instruments   | One legal Seminar conducted.<br>Interaction with at least two states on ratification of international Legal instruments   | Increase in international instrument ratified by AFI States (80%)   |
| <b>Improve technological resources and foster innovation</b>                            | Enhance technical advice to States, promote innovative techniques and technologies by States and industry on application of security measures, such as security equipment, appropriate technology for screening and facilitation( Passenger Information) and improve management of security equipment at airports (include site acceptance tests, routing testing and maintenance)<br><br>Assist states to apply better technological solutions and | Organize and support innovation events such as webinars /seminars and challenges and encourage participation of start-up and entrepreneurial companies.<br>Establish detailed threat item identification for each type of security equipment.<br>Establish initial minimum detection requirement for each | Conduct at <b>least one</b> seminar to raise awareness and assist States and industry to conduct outreach activities to promote the use of appropriate technology, including human factors considerations | Conduct at <b>least one</b> seminar to raise awareness and assist States and industry to conduct outreach activities to promote the use of appropriate technology, including human factors considerations | Conduct at <b>least one</b> seminar to raise awareness and assist States and industry to conduct outreach activities to promote the use of appropriate technology, including human factors considerations | Number of States conducting operational trials and sharing information on such trials<br>Greater information sharing between States equipment information, requirements and specifications.<br>Increased number of states with improved technological resources and foster innovation |

| OUTPUT   |  | KPIS/MEASURE OF DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES  |   |  |   | OUTCOME/KPI  |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| OBJECTIVES   | ACTIVITIES/PROJECTS  | BY END OF 2024   | BY END OF 2025  | BY END OF 2026   | BY END OF 2027  | TARGET /IMPACT   |
|  | innovative techniques that provide the tools for enhancing security effectiveness while ensuring operational efficiency.   | type of security equipment   |   |  |   |  |
| <b>Develop Security Culture and establish Sustainable aviation security and facilitation training capability and adequate human resources policies within the States</b> | Coordinate the conduct and organization of appropriate trainings courses and seminars on security culture in collaboration with GAT, ISD-SEC, FAL, ASTCs, partners, sponsors and donors such as AFCAC, ACI-APEX, ECAC CASE, etc. | At least two training courses related to security culture/ human resources (HR) policies delivered.                        | At least two trainings related to security culture/ human resources (HR) policies delivered.        | At least two trainings related to security culture/ human resources (HR) policies delivered.                               | At least two trainings related to security culture/ human resources (HR) policies delivered.        | Well-developed security culture and human capability               |
|  | Promote security culture (SC) by delivering relevant trainings and seminars, webinars, and supporting State specific activities.<br>Promote awareness and security culture targeting high government stakeholders/officials.     | Organize at least one AFI event to encourage / Provide support and guidance to States in needs to develop security culture | Continually encourage / Provide support and guidance to States in needs to develop security culture | Organize at least one AFI event to encourage / Provide support and guidance to States in needs to develop security culture | Continually encourage / Provide support and guidance to States in needs to develop security culture | Existence of a well-trained, motivated and professional work force |



| OUTPUT   |  | KPIs/MEASURE OF DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES   |   |   |   | OUTCOME/KPI  |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| OBJECTIVES   | ACTIVITIES/PROJECTS  | BY END OF 2024  | BY END OF 2025  | BY END OF 2026  | BY END OF 2027  | TARGET /IMPACT   |
| <b>All assistance activities in the African Region are actively coordinated to meet the ICAO requirements at a minimum</b> | Adequate coordination Provided through Plan SC , RASFALF AFI and other forums Enhance collaboration and coordination with EU, ACI, AUC, AFCAC, AFRAA, IATA, RECs RSOOs, UNOCT, IMTERPOL, EU, Partners and donors through sharing of information and programmes and participation in activities of mutual interest. | Work Programmes and priorities coordinated and harmonized regularly/annually /periodically (virtual and one in-person)                    | Coordinated Work Programmes and priorities regularly updated and implemented (virtual and one in-person)                                  | Coordinated Work Programmes and priorities regularly updated and implemented (virtual and one in-person)                                  | Coordinated Work Programmes and priorities regularly updated and implemented (virtual and one in-person)                                  | increased cooperation and support  |
|  |  | Number of coordinated and Joint activities  | Number of coordinated and Joint activities  | Number of coordinated and Joint activities  | Number of coordinated and non-coordinated activities  | Reduced or zero duplication of efforts and resources                     |
| <b>Enhance political will needed for States to prioritize Civil aviation to national development</b>                       | Presentation of the Plan in regional meetings and engagement with Regional Economic Communities (RECs) and AU Secretariat for necessary endorsements and updates   | At least two presentations /interaction/missions made to regional activities and necessary endorsements obtained from States, RECS and AU | At least two presentations /interaction/missions made to regional activities and necessary endorsements obtained from States, RECS and AU | At least two presentations /interaction/missions made to regional activities and necessary endorsements obtained from States, RECS and AU | At least two presentations /interaction/missions made to regional activities and necessary endorsements obtained from States, RECS and AU | Heightened political will in states to implement ICAO SARPs in AVSEC/FAL |
|  | Perform activities and high-level missions to promote aviation security and facilitation.  | Number of High-level Missions conducted   | Number of High-level Missions conducted   | Number of High-level Missions conducted   | Number of High-level Missions conducted   |  |

| OUTPUT  |   | KPIs/MEASURE OF DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES                                       |   |   |   | OUTCOME/KPI  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| OBJECTIVES  | ACTIVITIES/PROJECTS   | BY END OF 2024  | BY END OF 2025  | BY END OF 2026  | BY END OF 2027  | TARGET /IMPACT   |
|   | Participate in regional activities and highlight the relevance and importance of Aviation security and facilitation and coordinate the implementation of the Windhoek Declaration and Targets | Increased number of states compliant to or meeting the Windhoek Targets           | Increased number of states compliant to or meeting the Windhoek Targets           | Increased number of states compliant to or meeting the Windhoek Targets           | Increased number of states compliant to or meeting the Windhoek Targets           | Increased number of AFI States Prioritized aviation security and facilitation at national level                                      |
| <b>All AFI States have promulgated Regulations, detailed national programmes, procedures, and practices consistent with current Annex 17, that include sufficient Inspector qualifications and training, enforcement powers, coordination mechanism, identification of critical information, communication systems.</b> | Outreach and awareness campaign and support projects under the AFI SECFAL Plan and aviation security and facilitation culture Provided  | Number of states with detailed programmes consistent to Annex 17 increased by 50% | Number of states with detailed programmes consistent to Annex 17 increased by 60% | Number of states with detailed programmes consistent to Annex 17 increased by 70% | Number of states with detailed programmes consistent to Annex 17 increased by 80% | 80% of States have promulgated Regulations, detailed national programmes, procedures, and practices consistent with current Annex 17 |
|   |   | At least six states supported   | At least six states supported   | At least six states supported   | At least six states supported   |  |
|   |   | Four State Specific Projects developed/Implemented                                | Four State Specific Projects developed/Implemented                                | Four State Specific Projects developed/Implemented                                | Four State Specific Projects developed/Implemented                                |  |
| <b>All AFI States to have a functional National Civil Aviation Security Committee (NCASC)</b>   | State Specific projects and i-Parks developed and implemented   | Number of state specific projects developed, and states assisted                  | Number of pilot projects developed and implemented                                | Number of pilot projects developed  | Number of pilot projects developed  | Increase in number of AFI States that have functional National Civil   |

| OUTPUT  |   | KPIs/MEASURE OF DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES  |  |  |  | OUTCOME/KPI  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| OBJECTIVES  | ACTIVITIES/PROJECTS   | BY END OF 2024   | BY END OF 2025   | BY END OF 2026   | BY END OF 2027   | TARGET /IMPACT   |
| <b>and a National Civil Air Transport Facilitation Committee (NATFC)</b>  | Tailored Trainings, workshops and coaching provided   | Deliver two relevant iPacks, two workshops and two trainings                                     | Deliver two relevant iPacks, two workshops and two trainings                                     | Deliver two relevant iPacks, two workshops and two trainings                                     | Deliver two relevant iPacks, two workshops and two trainings                                     | Aviation Security Committee (NCASC) and National Civil Air Transport Facilitation Committee (100%)                                 |
|   |   | Number of staff trained  | Number of staff trained  | Number of staff trained  | Number of staff trained  |  |
| <b>Appropriate Authorities for Aviation Security and Facilitation are clearly defined and have the resources specifically assigned to these areas</b> | Support to States still in needs to develop national legislation, regulations and NCASP's Provided                    | Number of states supported to remedy deficiencies in National Legislative framework (CEs 1 to 5) | Number of states supported to remedy deficiencies in National Legislative framework (CEs 1 to 5) | Number of states supported to remedy deficiencies in National Legislative framework (CEs 1 to 5) | Number of states supported to remedy deficiencies in National Legislative framework (CEs 1 to 5) | Increase in the resources dedicated to AVSEC and FAL demonstrated during USAP-CMA and states reaching the EI of 90% by end of 2027 |
|   | In-house/State Training capacity Reinforced through Workshops, courses, ad-hoc support.                               | Number of trainers and trainees at the national and regional level                               | Number of trainers and trainees at the national and regional levels                              | Number of trainers and trainees at the national and regional levels                              | Number of trainers and trainees at the national and regional levels                              |  |
|   | Organization of train-the-trainers courses on a local, sub regional and regional basis , state specific and at ASTCs. | Number of State Specific projects developed and implemented                                      | Number of State Specific projects developed and implemented                                      | Number of State Specific projects developed and implemented                                      | Number of State Specific projects developed and implemented                                      |  |
|   | Develop/implement State Specific projects to address (CEs 1 through 5)  | Number of State Specific projects developed and implemented                                      | Number of State Specific projects developed and implemented                                      | Number of State Specific projects developed and implemented                                      | Number of State Specific projects developed and implemented                                      |  |

| OUTPUT   |   | KPIs/MEASURE OF DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES                 |   |   |   | OUTCOME/KPI  |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| OBJECTIVES   | ACTIVITIES/PROJECTS   | BY END OF 2024  | BY END OF 2025  | BY END OF 2026  | BY END OF 2027  | TARGET /IMPACT   |
| <b>Resolution of existing and prevention of new SSeCs and facilitate timely implementation of USAP-CMA Corrective Action Plans</b> | Existing SSeCs resolved, new ones prevented/resolved  | Number of resolved SSeCs.                                   | Number of resolved SSeCs.                                   | Number of resolved SSeCs.                                   | Number of resolved SSeCs.                                   | Zero SSeCs in the AFI Region   |
|  | Assistance and validation missions conducted, and projects developed and implemented  | Number of Mitigated new SSeCs                               | Number of Mitigated new SSeCs                               | Number of Mitigated new SSeCs                               | Number of Mitigated new SSeCs                               | All existing Significant Security Concerns (SSeCs) in the region and any new SSeCs are resolved timely   |
|  | Support timely development and implementation of AFI States' corrective action plans following USAP-CMA Audits  | Number of states/projects/missions conducted                | Number of states/projects/missions conducted                | Number of states/projects/missions conducted                | Number of states/projects/missions conducted                |  |
| <b>All African States have National Air Transport Facilitation Programmes (NATFPs) and NATFCs in place</b>                         | Support to States in needs to develop and implement NATFP, through follow-ups, Seminars, iPacks, trainings and workshops at the Regional, State and airport levels, mentoring; and ad-hoc support | Number of trainings and States supported                    | Number of trainings and States supported                    | Number of trainings and States supported                    | Number of trainings and States supported                    | All AFI States have an approved NATFP in line with the latest amendments to Annex 9. 90% of States to have NATFPs and NATFCs established with specific roles for public health crises. |
|  |   | Number of personnel trained in FAL related modules on NATFP | Number of personnel trained in FAL related modules on NATFP | Number of personnel trained in FAL related modules on NATFP | Number of personnel trained in FAL related modules on NATFP |  |

| OUTPUT   |  | KPIs/MEASURE OF DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES   |  |  |  | OUTCOME/KPI  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| OBJECTIVES   | ACTIVITIES/PROJECTS  | BY END OF 2024  | BY END OF 2025   | BY END OF 2026   | BY END OF 2027   | TARGET /IMPACT   |
| <b>Enhance risk awareness and assessment with robust risk and crisis management procedures established in all AFI States</b> | Support to States in needs to develop and implement risk management and crisis management procedures<br>Keep global and regional threat picture under regular review and enhance dissemination of threat and risk advice.  | Deliver at least one regional Risk Management Workshop (RMW), one Insider Risk Workshop (IRW), and one Crisis Management Workshop (CMW) to states in need | Deliver at least one regional RMW, one IRW, and one CMW to states in need            | Deliver at least one regional RMW, one IRW, and one CMW to states in need            | Deliver at least one regional RMW, one IRW, and one CMW to states in need            | Enhanced risk awareness and response in AFI States<br><br>80% of States have risk management methodology and crisis management procedures in place<br>80% of States implement risk based security measures, including measures relating to cyber security, MANPADS, RPAS, insider threat and land side security in line with their NCASP |
|  | Deliver trainings, workshops, seminars, coaching etc, on risk management, insider risk, and crisis management at regional, national and airport levels<br>Mentoring/coaching to States in need to develop and implement risk management and crisis management procedures<br>Improve current screening arrangements and application of security measures in light of national risk assessments, emerging threats, MANPADs, RPAS, cyber security, insider threat and land side security. | Number of personnel trained in risk management, crisis management and cyber security  | Number of personnel trained in risk management, crisis management and cyber security | Number of personnel trained in risk management, crisis management and cyber security | Number of personnel trained in risk management, crisis management and cyber security |  |

| OUTPUT   |  | KPIs/MEASURE OF DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES   |  |  |  | OUTCOME/KPI  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| OBJECTIVES   | ACTIVITIES/PROJECTS  | BY END OF 2024  | BY END OF 2025   | BY END OF 2026   | BY END OF 2027   | TARGET /IMPACT   |
| <b>Improve Oversight processes and Quality Assurance for sustainable and effective aviation security</b> | Analyze AFI USAP-CMA results and data to identify root causes and implementation issues that are systemic and require attention  | Annual analysis of the USAP-CMA results to identify root causes and prioritize support activities based on criticality and root causes    | Annual analysis of the USAP-CMA results to identify root causes and priorities support activities based on criticality and root causes updated | Annual analysis of the USAP-CMA results to identify root causes and prioritize support activities based on criticality and root causes updated | Annual analysis of the USAP-CMA results to identify root causes and prioritize support activities based on criticality and root causes updated | Availability of sufficiently qualified National Auditors/Inspectors and other personnel in the AFI Region.<br><br>At least 80% of states in the AFI Region, have Improved, effective Oversight processes and Quality control for sustainable and effective aviation security in the AFI Region |
|  | Promote collaboration, peer review and sharing of information amongst African States on best practices, security programmes, technical expertise, guidance materials and tools, fostering optimum utilization of AVSEC resources and expertise within the African region | Support missions and projects conducted through use of Short-Term Experts and AFI-CES, co-opted from states with strong oversight systems | Support missions and projects conducted through use of Short-Term Experts and AFI-CES, co-opted from states with strong oversight systems      | Support missions and projects conducted through use of Short-Term Experts and AFI-CES, co-opted from states with strong oversight systems      | Support missions and projects conducted through use of Short-Term Experts and AFI-CES, co-opted from states with strong oversight systems      |  |
|  | Conduct QC Workshops (QCWs) and National Inspectors Courses to build capacity and raise awareness on QC to AFI States.   | At least 40 and 20 personnel trained in QCW and inspectors' course respectively   | At least 40 and 20 personnel trained in QCW and inspectors' course respectively  | At least 40 and 20 personnel trained in QCW and inspectors' course respectively  | At least 40 and 20 personnel trained in QCW and inspectors' course respectively  |  |

| OUTPUT   |  | KPIs/MEASURE OF DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES  |  |  |  | OUTCOME/KPI  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| OBJECTIVES   | ACTIVITIES/PROJECTS  | BY END OF 2024   | BY END OF 2025   | BY END OF 2026   | BY END OF 2027   | TARGET /IMPACT   |
| <b>Strengthening Border Controls through Implementation of ICAO TRIP Strategy, implementation of UNSC Resolution 2309 (2016) and Issuance of machine readable “Convention Travel Documents” to refugees and stateless persons.</b> | Support AFI States to implement all the Annex 9 Standards related to the ICAO TRIP Strategy, including use of INTERPOL databases, development /establishment rules and procedures for STLDs and e-links with INTERPOL reporting stolen, lost and revoked travel documents, issuing MRCTDs and implementing an API /PNR systems.<br>Promote membership of the ICAO (PKD) through seminars/conferences and State missions. | Perform at least one seminar in the AFI on API /PNR /PKD /SLTD to assist states’ compliance.<br>Continued Liaison with ATB to support of African ePassport project to ensure compliance with the Doc 9303 specifications to enable full interoperability at the borders. | Perform at least one seminar in the AFI on API /PNR /PKD /SLTD to assist states’ compliance.<br>Continued Liaison with ATB to support of African ePassport project to ensure compliance with the Doc 9303 specifications to enable full interoperability at the borders. | Perform at least one seminar in the AFI on API /PNR /PKD /SLTD to assist states’ compliance.<br>Continued Liaison with ATB to support of African ePassport project to ensure compliance with the Doc 9303 specifications to enable full interoperability at the borders. | Perform at least one seminar in the AFI on API /PNR /PKD /SLTD to assist states’ compliance.<br>Continued Liaison with ATB to support of African ePassport project to ensure compliance with the Doc 9303 specifications to enable full interoperability at the borders. | 80% of States in AFI invest in improving basic sources of reliable data reporting information about SLTD for inclusion in the INTERPOL (SLTD) database<br>Increased number of states in the AFI, implementing API/PNR systems and joining ICAO PKD (80%) in line with UNSC Resolution 2309 (2016)<br>All AFI States issue only MRCTDs to refugees and stateless persons. |
|  | Conduct capacity building on ICAO TRIP Strategy at Regional and State levels and promote awareness of benefits of API and provide guidance on implementation API /PNR systems;   | Conduct ICAO FAL Course (English) and TRIP Course (French) for AFI   | Conduct ICAO FAL Course (French) and TRIP Course (English) for AFI   | Conduct ICAO FAL Course (English) and TRIP Course (French)   | Conduct ICAO FAL Course (French) and TRIP Course (English) for AFI   |  |
| <b>All African Region States have ratified</b>   | Promote ratification of all relevant international instruments and assist States   | One legal Seminar conducted  | One legal Seminar conducted  | One legal Seminar conducted  | One legal Seminar conducted  | Increase in international  |

| OUTPUT   |   | KPIs/MEASURE OF DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES   |  |  |  | OUTCOME/KPI   |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| OBJECTIVES   | ACTIVITIES/PROJECTS   | BY END OF 2024  | BY END OF 2025   | BY END OF 2026   | BY END OF 2027   | TARGET /IMPACT  |
| <b>relevant international Legal instruments</b>              | in their implementation, through legal seminars and during technical missions and high-level interactions and meetings  | Interaction with at least two states on ratification of international Legal instruments   | Interaction with at least two states on ratification of international Legal instruments  | Interaction with at least two states on ratification of international Legal instruments  | Interaction with at least two states on ratification of international Legal instruments  | instrument ratified by AFI States (80%)   |
| <b>Improve technological resources and foster innovation</b> | <p>Enhance technical advice to States, promote innovative techniques and technologies by States and industry on application of security measures, such as security equipment, appropriate technology for screening and facilitation( Passenger Information) and improve management of security equipment at airports (include site acceptance tests, routing testing and maintenance)</p> <p>Assist states to apply better technological solutions and innovative techniques that provide the tools for enhancing security effectiveness while ensuring operational efficiency.</p> | <p>Organize and support innovation events such as webinars /seminars and encourage participation of start-up and entrepreneurial companies</p> <p>Establish detailed threat item identification for each type of security equipment</p> <p>Establish initial minimum detection requirement for each type of</p> | <p>Conduct at <b>least one</b> seminar to raise awareness and assist States and industry to conduct outreach activities to promote the use of appropriate technology, including human factors considerations</p> | <p>Conduct at <b>least one</b> seminar to raise awareness and assist States and industry to conduct outreach activities to promote the use of appropriate technology, including human factors considerations</p> | <p>Conduct at <b>least one</b> seminar to raise awareness and assist States and industry to conduct outreach activities to promote the use of appropriate technology, including human factors considerations</p> | <p>Number of States conducting operational trials and sharing information on such trials</p> <p>Greater information sharing between States equipment information, requirements and specifications</p> <p>Increased number of states with improved technological resources and foster innovation</p> |



| OUTPUT   |  | KPIs/MEASURE OF DELIVERABLES AND MILESTONES  |   |  |   | OUTCOME/KPI  |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| OBJECTIVES   | ACTIVITIES/PROJECTS  | BY END OF 2024   | BY END OF 2025  | BY END OF 2026   | BY END OF 2027  | TARGET /IMPACT   |
|  |  | security equipment   |   |  |   |  |
| <b>Develop Security Culture and establish Sustainable aviation security and facilitation training capability and adequate human resources policies within the States</b> | Coordinate the conduct and organization of appropriate trainings courses and seminars on security culture in collaboration with GAT, ISD-SEC, FAL, ASTCs, partners, sponsors and donors such as AFCAC, ACI-APEX, ECAC CASE, etc. | At least two trainings related to security culture/ human resources (HR) policies delivered.                               | At least two trainings related to security culture/ human resources (HR) policies delivered.        | At least two trainings related to security culture/ human resources (HR) policies delivered.                               | At least two trainings related to security culture/ human resources (HR) policies delivered.        | Well-developed security culture and human capability               |
|  | Promote security culture(SC) by delivering relevant trainings and seminars, webinars, and supporting State specific activities.<br>Promote awareness and security culture targeting high government stakeholders/officials.      | Organize at least one AFI event to encourage / Provide support and guidance to States in needs to develop security culture | Continually encourage / Provide support and guidance to States in needs to develop security culture | Organize at least one AFI event to encourage / Provide support and guidance to States in needs to develop security culture | Continually encourage / Provide support and guidance to States in needs to develop security culture | Existence of a well-trained, motivated and professional work force |

## 7. **APPENDIX C – KEY ROLE PLAYERS – PARTNERS**

The following potential role players, have been identified:

### **INTERNATIONAL PARTNERS / STATE AUTHORITIES / ORGANIZATIONS**

- Aviation authorities / aviation security authorities/other relevant technical cooperation department (partner States such as US, UK, France, Belgium, China, Japan, Canada, Spain, Portugal, etc.)
- WB - World Bank
- ICPO-Interpol
- IOM – International Organization for Migration
- UNHCR – UN Refugee Agency
- UNOWA - United Nations Office for West Africa
- UNOCA – United Nations Office for Central Africa
- UNODC – United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOCT - United Nations Office of Counter -Terrorism
- UNCTED - United Nations Counter-Terrorism Committee Executive Directorate
- UNCTITF – United Nations Counter-Terrorism Implementation Task Force.
- WCO – World Customs Organization

### **REGIONAL STATE AUTHORITIES / ORGANIZATIONS / GROUPS**

- AU - African Union
- ACAC - Arab Civil Aviation Commission,
- AfDB - African Development Bank
- AMU - Arab Maghreb Union
- CAERT – Centre africain d'étude et de recherche sur le terrorisme
- CEMAC - Communauté Économique et Monétaire des États de l'Afrique Centrale
- EAC - East African Community
- EC - European Commission
- ECAC - European Civil Aviation Conference
- ECCAS – Economic Community of Central African States
- CEN-SAD - Communauté des États sahélo-sahariens
- COMESA - Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa
- ECOWAS – Economic Community of West African States
- IGAD - Intergovernmental Authority on Development
- INTERPOL -International Police Organization
- SADC – Southern African Development Community
- UEMOA – Union Économique et Monétaire Ouest Africaine
- UNECA – United Nations Economic Commission for Africa

### **REGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS INVOLVED IN CIVIL AVIATION SECURITY IN AFRICA**

- AATO - Association of African Aviation Training Organization
- AFCAC – African Civil Aviation Commission
- ASECNA – Agence pour la Sécurité de la Navigation Aérienne en Afrique et Madagascar
- CASSOA - East African Community Civil Aviation Safety and Security Oversight Agency

**INDUSTRY ORGANIZATIONS**

- ACI-Africa – Airports Council International – Africa
- AFRAA – African Airlines Association
- IATA – International Air Transport Association
- IFALPA – International Federation of Airline Pilots Associations

**ICAO AVIATION SECURITY TRAINING CENTRES**

- Cairo
- Casablanca
- Dakar
- Douala
- Dar es Salaam
- Johannesburg
- Lagos
- Nairobi
- Tunis

## 8. APPENDIX D – FINANCIAL RESOURCES REQUIRED AND COSTING TO SUPPORT THE PLAN (ESTIMATE)

## 7.1

| BUDGET ESTIMATE FIRST 4 YEARS (2024-2027)   |             |   |
|---|-------------|---|
| ACTION  | AMOUNT      | DESCRIPTION   |
| <b>Coordination of assistance activities in the African Region</b>  | USD 160,000 | Regular/annually/periodically. Virtual meetings and at least one in-person, including one SC Meeting per annum  |
| <b>Regional meetings and engagement with RECs, AU and high-level missions, Steering Committee and RASFALG-AFI Meetings</b>  | USD 48,000  | At least two presentations made per annum to regional activities and necessary endorsements obtained from States, RECS and AU to enhance political will, one SC and one RASFALG-AFI Meeting.                                      |
| <b>State specific project to address SSeCs, enhance aviation security oversight systems focused on the eight Critical Elements of a State Oversight System to states in need as prioritized</b> | USD 480,000 | Implementation of phased and needs-based state-specific projects to address root cause of identified challenges through needs assessment missions or USAP-CMA activities, covering at least four States per annum, in four years. |
| <b>Facilitation of States to have functional NCASC and NATFC</b>  | USD 256,000 | Delivering two relevant iPacks, two NCASP/NCASQCP workshops and two FAL training courses as appropriate, to address functional NCASCs and NATFCs per annum, in four years.  |
| <b>Enhance risk awareness and assessment with robust Risk and Crisis management procedures established in all AFI States</b>  | USD 64,000  | Delivering at least one Regional RMW and one CMW to states in need per annum, in four years.  |
| <b>Improve Oversight processes and Quality Assurance for sustainable and effective aviation security.</b>   | USD 24,000  | Delivering two QC Workshops and one National Inspectors Courses to build capacity and raise awareness on QC to AFI States per annum, in four years.   |
| <b>Support AFI States to implement all the Annex 9 Standards related implementation of UNSC Resolution 2309 (2016)</b>  | USD 80,000  | Perform at least one seminar in the AFI on API/PNR/PKD/SLTD to assist states' compliance with Annex 9 and provide FAL related training per annum, in four years.  |

| BUDGET ESTIMATE FIRST 4 YEARS (2024-2027)  |                      |   |
|--|----------------------|---|
| ACTION   | AMOUNT               | DESCRIPTION   |
| <b>Facilitate and raise awareness for ratification of relevant international Legal instruments</b>   | USD 30,000           | Conduct one continent-wide Legal Seminar every two years, in four years./   |
| <b>Conducting technical advice to States, promote innovative techniques and technologies by States and industry on application of security measures,</b> | USD 40,000           | Organize and support innovation events such as webinars /seminars and challenges and encourage participation of start-up and entrepreneurial companies as necessary.  |
| <b>Coordinating the conduct and organization of appropriate trainings courses and seminars on security culture</b>                                       | USD 40,000           | At least two trainings related to security culture/ human resources (HR) policies delivered per annum and at least one event on security culture per annum for promoting of security culture in four years. |
| <b>Communication</b>   | USD 14,000           | Sufficient resources should be provided to maintain public relations and communication with States, Donors and Regional organizations. (Plan Banner, Logo, Pamphlet, Advertisement, Web page, etc.)         |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>USD 1,196,000</b> | 4-year period.  |
| <b><i>The average amount required per annum is <u>USD 299,000</u></i></b>  |                      |   |
| BUDGET ESTIMATE FIRST 4 YEARS (2024-2027)  |                      |   |
| ACTION   | AMOUNT               | DESCRIPTION   |
| <b>Coordination of assistance activities in the African Region</b>   | USD 160,000          | Regular/annually/periodically. Virtual meetings and at least one in-person, including one SC Meeting per annum  |
| <b>Regional meetings and engagement with RECs, AU and high-level missions, Steering Committee and RASFALG-AFI Meetings</b>                               | USD 48,000           | At least two presentations made per annum to regional activities and necessary endorsements obtained from States, RECS and AU to enhance political will, one SC and one RASFALG-AFI Meeting.                |

| BUDGET ESTIMATE FIRST 4 YEARS (2024-2027)   |             |  |
|---|-------------|--|
| ACTION  | AMOUNT      | DESCRIPTION  |
| <b>State specific project to address SSeCs, enhance aviation security oversight systems focused on the eight Critical Elements of a State Oversight System to states in need as prioritized</b> | USD 480,000 | Implementation of phased and needs-based state-specific projects to address root cause of identified challenges through needs assessment missions or USAP-CMA activities, covering at least four States per annum, in four years |
| <b>Facilitation of States to have functional NCASC and NATFC</b>  | USD 256,000 | Delivering two relevant iPacks, two NCASP/NCASQCP workshops and two FAL training courses as appropriate, to address functional NCASCs and NATFCs   |
| <b>Enhance risk awareness and assessment with robust Risk and Crisis management procedures established in all AFI States</b>  | USD 64,000  | Delivering at least one Regional RMW and one CMW to states in need per annum   |
| <b>Improve Oversight processes and Quality Assurance for sustainable and effective aviation security.</b>   | USD 24,000  | Delivering two QC Workshops and one National Inspectors Courses to build capacity and raise awareness on QC to AFI States per annum.   |
| <b>Support AFI States to implement all the Annex 9 Standards related implementation of UNSC Resolution 2309 (2016)</b>  | USD 80,000  | Perform at least one seminar in the AFI on API/PNR/PKD/SLTD to assist states' compliance with Annex 9 and provide FAL related training   |
| <b>Facilitate and raise awareness for ratification of relevant international Legal instruments</b>  | USD 30,000  | Conduct one continent-wide Legal Seminar every two years   |
| <b>Conducting technical advice to States, promote innovative techniques and technologies by States and industry on application of security measures,</b>  | USD 40,000  | Organize and support innovation events such as webinars /seminars and challenges and encourage participation of start-up and entrepreneurial companies   |
| <b>Coordinating the conduct and organization of appropriate trainings courses and seminars on security culture</b>  | USD 40,000  | At least two trainings related to security culture/ human resources (HR) policies delivered per annum and at least one event on security culture per annum for promoting of security culture                                     |
| <b>Communication</b>  | USD 14,000  | Sufficient resources should be provided to maintain public relations and communication with States, Donors and Regional organizations. (Plan Banner, Logo, Pamphlet, Advertisement, Web page, etc.)                              |

| BUDGET ESTIMATE FIRST 4 YEARS (2024-2027)                          |                      |                |
|--|----------------------|----------------|
| ACTION   | AMOUNT               | DESCRIPTION    |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>USD 1,196,000</b> | 4-year period. |
| <b><u>The average amount required per annum is USD 299,000</u></b> |                      |                |

## 9. APPENDIX E – MONITORING AND REPORTING RESPONSIBILITIES

| Output   | Responsible for reporting           |                    |                     |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|  | Individual or group reported to (*) | Reporting time     |                     |
| Development and delivery of Trainings and materials                  | GAT/ISD-SEC/ASTCs/FAL               | Steering Committee | Every twelve months |
| Inventory of needs and resources and development of strategies       | RD                                  | Steering Committee |                     |
| Council  | Every twelve months                 |                    |                     |
| Report on progress   | Chair of the Steering Committee     | Council            | Every six months    |
| Increase compliance with the ICAO SARPs on Security and Facilitation | RD/ISD-SEC and FAL (with ASA)       | Steering Committee | Every twelve months |
| Enhance the coordination with donors                                 | RD/ISD-SEC/CDI                      | Steering Committee | Every twelve months |
| Enhance availability of sufficient qualified AFI-CES                 | RD/AFCAC/ISD-SEC                    | Steering Committee | Every twelve months |
| Increase the collaboration amongst African States                    | AFCAC                               | Steering Committee | Every twelve months |
| Report to 42nd Session of the ICAO Assembly                          | RD/ISD-SEC                          | Assembly           | October 2025        |

\* Annual reports on all aspects of the progress in implementing the AFI SECFAL Plan will be provided to Council.